November 19, 2015

Denise Venegas  
California Coastal Commission  
89 South California Street, Suite 200  
Ventura, California 93001

Re: Building 411 and 429 Demolition/Replacement Project – Notice of Impending Development

Dear Ms. Venegas:

The Notice of Impending Development (NOID) for Building 411 and 429 Demolition/Replacement Project is attached including stamped, addressed envelopes for public noticing. A pdf of the NOID document is available online at http://facilities.ucsb.edu/planning/downloads.

If you have any questions or comments regarding this submittal please do not hesitate to telephone me at (805) 893-5216 or send e-mail to steve.conner@planning.ucsb.edu.

Sincerely,

Steve Conner  
Senior Planner

Attachment/Enclosure: As stated.

cc:  
    Alissa Hummer, Campus Planning and Design, Acting Director  
    Jack Wolover, Design and Construction Services, Director  
    Marc Fisher, Vice Chancellor Administrative Services  
    Gene Horstín, Design and Construction Services, Asbestos and Lead Coordinator  
    Croft Yjáder, Design and Construction Services
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UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SANTA BARBARA
BUILDING 411 AND 429 DEMOLITION/REPLACEMENT BUILDING
PROJECT

NOTICE OF IMPENDING DEVELOPMENT

I. INTRODUCTION

The University of California, Santa Barbara (UCSB) has prepared this Notice of Impending Development for the Building 411 and 429 Demolition/Replacement project (see Appendix A. Location Map), a proposal to demolish approximately 7,855 gross square feet of temporary buildings without foundations, replace the demolished buildings with approximately 1,440 square feet of modular building, and remodel approximately 2,884 assignable square feet of modular building (387) located directly east of the replacement building (see Appendix B. Sheet A-1 Scheme A Site Layout).

II. PROJECT DESCRIPTION

A. Project Objective

The University has determined that Buildings 411 and 429 have reached the end of their useful life and contain lead based paint and asbestos. Renovation of these two buildings would be unadvisable and abatement of the associated asbestos and lead-based paint would add considerable cost. The existing use of the buildings will be replaced by remodeling an existing nearby modular building and constructing a new modular building on the site currently occupied by Building 429. The existing occupants (graduate student offices) will be relocated into various surge spaces on Main Campus. After project completion, the majority of existing occupants will either be relocated into the replacement building or the remodeled buildings. The remainder of existing occupants will either be relocated into various available space within the academic and support area on Main Campus or stay in the surge space.

B. Project Background

Building 411 (a single-story wood frame structure) was constructed in 1942 and Building 429 (a two-story wood frame structure) was constructed in 1943. They were built for the United States Marine Corps base. Building 429 was occupied as barracks housing. Building 411 was also occupied as barracks and was moved from a nearby site (most likely for the construction of Santa Rosa Residence Hall in 1954 - see Appendix C. U.S.Marine Corps Plot Plan, Alterations and Remodel DWG 1-102, and Alterations and Remodel DWG 411-103). Building 411 was likely a 2 story building which was reduced to a single-story during the move to its current location. Both buildings were occupied by the University in 1952.
The buildings have been used as office and storage space by various departments in the past. Most recently, the Psychology Department has used them as graduate student office space, laboratories, and classroom.

Building 387 (proposed remodel) is a wood frame modular building that was constructed and occupied in 1998. It contains 3,368 gross square feet and is used as general assignment classrooms.

C. Project Location and Setting

The proposed project is located east of Parking Lot 3 on the Main Campus of the University of California, Santa Barbara (UCSB). See Appendix A, Project Location Map. The proposed project site can be accessed from UCEN Road, off Lagoon Road.

The buildings are located in a developed area surrounded by ornamental landscaping, trees, and hardscape. There is a pedestrian path bisecting the site between the two buildings. The building site is a level area that lies approximately 50 feet above mean sea level.

The nearest occupied structure (387) is located approximately 10 feet south and is a modular classroom building (see Appendix D, Site Map). The Psychology Building (551) is approximately 50 feet south and east of the site and it is a three-story building which contains laboratories, classrooms, offices and storage.

Parking Lot 3 is located approximately 30 feet west of the buildings.

The buildings proposed for demolition are approximately 33 feet wide by 83 feet long. Building 411 is supported on a concrete pier and pad foundation and contains 2,544 gross square feet (gsf) of space. Building 429 is on a concrete slab foundation and contains 5,311 gsf of space. Asbestos-containing materials and lead-based paint have been identified in the structures.

The 2010 LRDP land use designation for the site is Academic and Support. The total proposed project area is approximately 15,000 square feet (0.3 acre). The proposed project site has been disturbed by previous grading operations for the existing asphalt, concrete and surrounding buildings.

D. Project Description

In total, 7,855 gross square feet of academic and support building would be demolished, approximately 1,500 feet of pavement/concrete would be demolished. The proposed demolition would dismantle the buildings and demolition debris would be disposed of by the contractor at a facility designed for hazardous materials. The demolition staging area would be onsite in the service parking lot between Psychology/551 and Building 387; and there would be no temporary construction trailer. Construction fencing will be placed around the site during demolition (see Appendix C, Site Map). In accordance with all regulatory requirements, the buildings will be abated of asbestos and lead-based paint before general demolition operations begin. Any useful materials (e.g. lumber, plumbing, masonry, etc.) would be salvaged for re-use or re-purposing on other
University projects. The estimated amount of debris would be approximately 440 cu.yd. of demolition debris in eleven roll-off bins. The disposal would require approximately eleven truck trips to deliver to Tajiguas Landfill. The disposal trips would require temporary and intermittent closure of a few parking stalls in Lot 3 and in the aforementioned service parking lot west of the Psychology Building. Temporary routing signage would be posted during disposal trips.

All existing site-serving utilities will be reconfigured to serve the new modular building. Grading will not be required for the demolition and construction of these buildings because they are installed on piers with pads. A 23 inch diameter at breast height (dbh)/41 foot tall Acacia tree, a 10 inch/31 foot tall Channel Island cherry (Prunus illicifolia lyonii) tree, and a 13 inch/31 foot tall paper bark tree (Melaleuca quinquenervia) would be removed to construct new wood deck and trellis on the south side of the proposed modular building, as shown on Sheet A-1 in Appendix B. The trees would be replaced at a 1:1 ratio in the area vacated by Buildings 411 and 429. Two trees (#4, & #5 on Sheet A-1) would not be removed, although the plan indicates the contrary. Although the trees would not be removed during bird nesting season, a bird survey would be conducted if the project timing were to start during bird nesting season. A new planter area would be landscaped on the north and east sides of the proposed replacement building, as shown on Sheet A-1 in Appendix B.

E. Implementation and Project Schedule

The proposed project demolition and construction is scheduled to commence in the winter of 2015 and take approximately three weeks to complete. The displaced laboratories in Building 411 are being accommodated in Building 551/Psychology and the majority of the graduate students have relocated from Building 429 into temporary surge space in Building 434.

III. PROCEDURE

A public notice regarding the submittal of this Notice of Impending Development has been mailed to local governments, community groups, and interested parties pursuant to California Code of Regulation Section 13549-51. The public notice and a list of interested parties is included in Appendix E. Section 30606 of the Coastal Act and Article 14, Section 13547 through Section 13550 of the California Code of Regulations govern the Coastal Commission’s review of subsequent development where there is a certified LRDP. Section 13549(B) requires the Executive Director or their designee to review the Notice of Impending Development within ten days of receipt and determine whether it provides sufficient information to determine if the proposed development is consistent with the certified LRDP. The Notice is deemed filed when all necessary supporting information has been received.

Within thirty days of filing the Notice of Impending Development, the Executive Director shall report to the Commission and make a recommendation regarding the consistency of the proposed development with the certified LRDP. After a public hearing, by a majority of its members present, the Commission shall determine whether the development is consistent with the certified LRDP and whether conditions are required to bring it into conformance with the LRDP. No construction shall commence until after the Commission votes to render the proposed development consistent with the certified LRDP.
A. Environmental Review

The project is categorically exempt under CEQA in accordance with Section 15302, Class 2, Replacement or Reconstruction. None of the exceptions in Section 15300.2 apply. A Notice Of Exemption was prepared for the proposed project (see NOE in Appendix F).

IV. CONSISTENCY WITH THE UCSB LONG RANGE DEVELOPMENT PLAN

The proposed project is consistent with the Environmental Impact Report (EIR) prepared for the 2010 LRDP (UCSB 2008) and also consistent with the 2010 LRDP land use designation of Academic Uses (UCSB 2015). The demolition and construction would not change the 2010 LRDP land use designation.

A. Public Access

The LRDP implements Coastal Act §30210 through §30214 by requiring that new development not generate traffic that exceeds roadway capacity of existing coastal access routes on Campus and therefore restrict or impede coastal access to or along the coast. The LRDP also requires adequate public access parking be provided for new development.

The project does not propose new development which generates new traffic or parking. Roadway capacities would not be exceeded with the temporary addition of traffic associated with the demolition and construction. Traffic associated with the demolition and construction of the project would not cause long-term restriction or impedance of existing coastal access routes, nor would additional public parking be needed. Temporary construction fencing would be placed around the demolition and construction area. Coastal access would not be affected by the project.

B. Recreation

In accordance with Coastal Act §30220 through §30224, the project would not impact the use of oceanfront land for recreation and would not include any development that would impact water-oriented recreational uses. The Campus beaches would remain open to the public. The proposed project would not limit recreational use of oceanfront land.

C. Marine Environment

Coastal Act §30230 provides for protection and enhancement of marine resources. The project does not propose changes to or the use of marine resources. Coastal Act §30231 provides for the maintenance of biological productivity and water quality through wastewater treatment, control of runoff/surface flow, and protection of riparian buffers/areas. There would be no increase in runoff or riparian alterations associated with the demolition and construction. Coastal Act §30232 requires protection against spilling of hazardous substances. The project will include appropriate control strategies associated with the demolition and construction. The Asbestos and Pb (Lead) Coordinator
at UCSB Design and Construction Services would select the appropriate control strategy for disposal of materials\textsuperscript{1}.

D. Land Resources

Coastal Act §30240(a) requires protection of ESHA’s from disruption by adjacent development. The proposed demolition and construction are not adjacent to ESHA. The demolition and construction would not cause any significant disruption of habitat values. The project does not propose new development adjacent to ESHA in accordance with Coastal Act §30240(b). Coastal Act §30244 requires reasonable mitigation measures for development projects which would adversely impact archaeological or paleontological resources. The site has been previously developed, there would be no grading or significant ground disturbance, and demolition/construction proposed by the project would not disturb any previously undisturbed ground. In the unlikely event archeological resources are discovered during trenching activities all applicable LRDP policies would be followed. The proposed demolition of buildings 411 and 429 was considered in the 2008 LRDP EIR. Although the structures were built over 45 years ago and their historic period related to the Marine Corps Air Base, they do not contain sufficient historic integrity to convey any significance they may possess related to the military’s use of the buildings or to World War II. The construction of the UC campus around them has greatly diminished their integrity of setting. Building 411 was greatly altered and moved, thus diminishing its historic integrity. In addition, no evidence of famous architects, visitors, owners, or events was linked to the structures.

E. New Development

The LRDP contains land use designations, development guidelines, and policies to ensure the location of new development will not adversely affect coastal resources, as specified in § 30250 and 30251. The project would remove 7,855 gross square feet (GSF) of Academic and Support (A&S) and construct 1,440 gross square feet of A&S space (modular building) on the same site. The 2010 LRDP allows for the potential new development of 3,600,000 GSF. Table 1 lists the 2010 LRDP campus baseline\textsuperscript{2} total of GSF\textsuperscript{3} under A&S as of December 11, 2014, and a running total account of GSF currently built-out in relation to the baseline.

---

\textsuperscript{1} Horstin, G., personal communication, March 25, 2015.
\textsuperscript{2} Excludes off-campus buildings and buildings on UC Natural Reserve properties. Includes projects under construction: Davidson Library Addition (62,123 GSF), Bioengineering (89,060 GSF), and Faculty Club (29,750 GSF).
\textsuperscript{3} The gross square feet calculation includes all interior space, walls, and support columns plus 50 percent of covered and unenclosed spaces.
Table 1. Running Account of Gross Square Feet.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>GSF (sq ft)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Existing A&amp;S Baseline as of December 11, 2014</td>
<td>5,958,298</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women's Softball Trailer</td>
<td>720</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRL Infill</td>
<td>1,325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building 411 and 429 Demo</td>
<td>-7,855</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed Modular Building</td>
<td>1,440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed Total</td>
<td>5,953,928</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Development Cap</td>
<td>9,558,298</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed remaining GSF</td>
<td>3,604,370</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The height limit in the project area is 85 feet, the new modular building would be approximately 15 feet high and would be in conformance with the height limits shown on LRDP Figure D.4.

In accordance with Coastal Act § 30253(b), the project directs development to an area that has already been developed and has little potential for new erosion or destruction of the surrounding area.

In accordance with Coastal Act § 30253(c), the project will be consistent with requirements imposed by the Santa Barbara County Air Pollution Control District.

F. Consistency with 2010 LRDP Policies

Table 2 provides an analysis of the project's consistency with the policies of the 2010 LRDP. The following Policies were not relevant to this project: LU-02, LU-2.1, LU-03, LU-08 through LU-16, LU-18 through LU-35, SCEN-08 through SCEN-11, GEO-02 through GEO-12, PA-01 through PA-11, PA-13, TRANS-02 through TRANS-03, TRANS-08 through TRANS-09, TRANS-11 through TRANS-27, REC-01 through REC-05, OS-01 through OS-10, ESH-01 through ESH-05, ESH-08, ESH-10, ESH-12, ESH-13, ESH-16 through ESH-20, ESH-22 through ESH-26, ESH-29 through ESH-51, MAR-02 through MAR-10, WQ-13 through WQ-16, HAZ-6 through HAZ-7, FIL-1 through FIL-3, and SH-1 through SH-7.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Policy INTRO-1</th>
<th>The policies of the Coastal Act (PRC Sections 30210 through 30263) are adopted herein as policies with full force and effect as part of the certified Long Range Development Plan.</th>
<th>Consistent.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Policy INTRO-2</td>
<td>If conflicts occur between requirements of the LRDP, the policies most protective of coastal resources shall control. Protection of environmentally sensitive habitat areas (ESHA) and public access shall take priority over other development standards and where there is any conflict between general development standards and ESHA and/or public access protection, the standards that are most protective of ESHA and public access shall have precedence.</td>
<td>Consistent. There are no conflicts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy INTRO-3</td>
<td>If there is a conflict between a provision of the LRDP and any other Campus Plan or Program that is not certified as part of the LRDP, and it is not possible for the development to comply with both the LRDP and such other plan, the LRDP shall take precedence and the development shall not be approved unless it complies with the LRDP provisions.</td>
<td>Consistent. There are no conflicts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy INTRO-4</td>
<td>Where the LRDP references applicable provisions of State law (e.g., the California Government Code or Public Resources Code) the reference shall be construed to be the applicable State law provisions effective on the date of the 2014 LRDP certification. Where provisions of the State law are amended in such a way that they are inconsistent with the LRDP, such changes require an LRDP amendment.</td>
<td>Not Applicable. No changes in regulations or the LRDP have occurred.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy INTRO-5</td>
<td>MOUs, or other agreements with other entities, shall not replace or supersede any policy or provision of the certified LRDP, and may require future LRDP amend-</td>
<td>Consistent.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
LAND USE – COASTAL ACT SECTION 30250

**GENERAL POLICIES**

**Policy LU-01** - A maximum of 3.6 million gross square feet (GSF) of additional academic and support uses may be developed on the UCSB campus where designated on Figure D.3, Potential Development Areas, and provided that it is consistent with all other policies and provisions of the LRDP. The University shall maintain a running account of the changes to Academic and Support (A&S) development on campus. The A&S build-out documentation shall summarize the total A&S build-out in gross square feet and account for new A&S structural area, additions to existing A&S structures, demolition of existing A&S structural area, and any other changes that affect the GSF of A&S development. The A&S build-out documentation shall include a running annual total and shall provide the current build-out in relation to the Academic and Support "baseline." The baseline shall be the total build-out of A&S campus-wide as of the date of certification of the 2010 LRDP. The A&S build-out documentation shall be submitted with each NOID or Exemption Request that adds or removes A&S build-out.

Any new structures on lands designated as Recreation or Open Space shall also count toward the A&S development cap. Solar energy systems, such as solar panels, on rooftops shall not be counted toward the A&S development cap.

**Policy LU-04** – The individual development site build-out parameters as identified in the policies (including LU-02 and LU-03) and provisions of this LRDP represent the maximum build-out potential. Prior to site design, the University shall confirm the environmental conditions through updated environmental resource surveys, including biological resources (e.g., wetlands, ESHAs, Monarch Butterflies, etc.) completed within 1 year prior to submitting the Notice of Impending Development; traffic, parking and coastal access constraints analyses; and archaeological resource evaluations, as applicable, to establish up-to-date resource constraints for prepa-

**Consistent.** Project is within Main Campus Potential Development Area. The proposed removal of 7,855 and addition of 1,440 gross square feet has been accounted for in the running total gross square feet of A&S build-out in relation to the "baseline".

**Consistent.** Resource constraints would not need updating. There are no ESHA or sensitive biological resources. The project would not affect parking in the long-term; the proposed short-term use of a few stalls would be temporary. The removal of the foundation for Building 429 and construction of pier-on-pad foundation would not require major grading on a site that has been highly disturbed and does not contain a known archeological site.
ration of the Notice of Impending Development. The updated constraints may further limit the development footprint and/or the maximum build-out potential or design parameters to ensure consistency with the LRDP.

**Policy LU-05** - Development shall be planned to fit the topography, soils, geology, hydrology, and other conditions existing on the site so that grading is kept to a minimum. Campus development shall protect, and where feasible restore, natural hydrologic features such as natural stream corridors, groundwater recharge areas, floodplains, vernal pools, and wetlands.

**Consistent.** The site is level and no hydrologic features are present on site. Best Management Practices will be followed after the removal of Building 429 foundation and during construction of the new modular.

**Policy LU-06** - New campus development shall be located within, contiguous with, or in close proximity to existing developed areas able to accommodate it and where it will not have significant adverse effects, either individually or cumulatively, on coastal resources.

**Consistent.** Proposed modular building would be located within existing developed area and would not have significant adverse effects on coastal resources.

**Policy LU-07** - Trailers, storage units, and temporary manufactured structures shall be located or relocated pursuant to a Commission-approved NOID. Where the structure serves an A&S function, it shall be accounted for under the A&S development cap as described in Policy LU-01.

**Consistent.** Temporary manufactured structure would comply with Commission-approved requirements. A&S function is accounted for in Table 1. Running Account of Gross Square Feet.

**Policy LU-17** - Development within the Main Campus Academic and Support site shall be located within the approximately 143-acre potential development envelope(s) designated as Academic and Support on Figure D.3 and shall be consistent with the following build-out provisions:

- **Within the 85 foot height area as shown on Figure D.4**, a maximum of 810,000 GSF of net new building area may be constructed. Within the 65-foot height area, a maximum of 1.75 million GSF maybe be constructed. New academic and support build-out on this site shall be counted toward the 3.6 million GSF campus-wide Academic and Support development cap consistent with Policy LU-01.
- **Development that removes, relocates, or otherwise modifies a parking lot containing designated coastal access parking spaces requires further review as an LRDP amendment as outlined in Policy TRANS-14.**

**Consistent.** The demolition will remove 7,855 GSF from the Main Campus A&S site development envelope. The replacement building will add 1,440 GSF back into the total, as accounted for in Table 1. Running Account of Gross Square Feet.

- **(a.)** The remaining available GSF within the 85 foot height area shown on Figure D.4 would be 810,000 – 720 - 1,325 + 7,855 - 1,440 = 814,370
- **(b.)** The project does not propose to remove or modify designated coastal access parking.

---

**SCENIC AND VISUAL RESOURCES – 30251**

**GENERAL POLICIES**
| Policy SCEN-01 | New structures on the campus shall be in general conformance with the scale and character of surrounding development. Clustered developments and innovative designs are encouraged. | Consistent. The proposed single-story replacement building would be of similar scale and character to nearby building Building 387 (proposed to be remodeled, as a part of the project). |
| Policy SCEN-03 | New development shall be sited and designed to minimize adverse impacts to the greatest extent feasible on scenic resources, including places on, along, within, or visible from public viewing areas such as public parks, trails, beaches, and state waters that offer scenic vistas of mountains, coastline, and other unique natural features, as identified as view points, scenic routes, and trails on Figure F.4. The University shall seek to enhance primary and secondary view corridors where feasible to the ocean and scenic coastal areas shown in Figure F.4 such as by the removal of temporary buildings. | Consistent. The site is not within primary or secondary view corridors. |
| Policy SCEN-04 | Development shall not exceed the height limits established in Figure D.4. Height shall be measured as the vertical distance at any one point from the existing grade to the highest point of the roof of the structure. The highest point shall be the coping of a flat roof, or peak of the ridge for a pitch or hip roof. Mechanical and electrical equipment and solar energy systems on the roof shall not be included in the height measurement. However, mechanical equipment shall be setback as far as feasible from public roads and other viewing areas and screened by architectural features. | Consistent. The proposed single-story replacement building is within a height limit zone of 85 feet. The proposed building will measure approximately 15 feet tall from existing grade. |
| Policy SCEN-05 | Natural building materials and colors that are compatible with the surrounding landscape will be used where practical. | Consistent. The proposed replacement building will be painted with earth tones similar to the existing Building 397 nearby. |
| Policy SCEN-06 | All new development shall include landscaping which mitigates the development’s visual impacts. A landscape plan representing these landscape elements shall be submitted in support of the Notice of Impending Development. | Consistent. The area vacated by Building 429 would be planted with new landscape materials on the north and east sides of the proposed replacement building, as shown in Appendix B. |
| Policy SCEN-07 | For trees with significant scenic value, the first priority shall be to avoid tree removal where feasible. If tree removal cannot be avoided, the second priority shall be relocation of the tree. If the scenic tree cannot feasibly be retained in place, the tree removal shall be conducted and mitigated consistent with the Tree Trimming and Removal Program in Appendix 2. Where a scenic tree is located within | Consistent. The three trees proposed for removal are not of scenic value. The trees will be replaced at a 1:1 ratio on site, in accordance with Section 2.4.1 of LRDP Appendix 2, as shown in Appendix B Sheet A-1 Scheme 'A' Site Layout of this NOID document. |
ESHA or Open Space the tree trimming and removal shall be subject to Policy ESH-29.

| SAFETY, STABILITY, POLLUTION, ENERGY CONSERVATION, VISITORS - 30253 through .13 |
| GELOGIC HAZARDS |
| **Policy GEO-01** - New development proposals shall be supported by geotechnical and soil studies conducted by a California-licensed geologist or geotechnical engineer, as appropriate, to determine technical requirements for adequate building foundation and infrastructure designs; such studies shall include an appropriate evaluation of seismic or liquefaction hazards that may affect the subject site. The results of such studies, and the recommendations of the preparing professional, shall be submitted in support of the pertinent Notice of Impending Development. |
| Consistent. The project will incorporate appropriate design in the pier-on-concrete pad foundation of the proposed modular building. |

| SUSTAINABILITY AND RECYCLING |
| **Policy SUST-01** - The University shall reduce transportation emissions associated with fleet vehicles by implementing the following measures: replacing vehicles with low or zero emission vehicles; right-sizing fleets (determining the appropriate fleet size, revising business practices to reduce need for travel); reducing fleet fuel consumption; reducing fleet vehicle miles traveled; and increasing use of fuels with lower GHG emissions. The University shall purchase the most efficient fleet vehicles with the goal of 95% of the campus light-duty fleet purchases using alternative fueled vehicles (AFV’s) (Biodiesel, Electricity, Ethanol, Hydrogen and Natural Gas as per DOE & CEC’s supported fuels) by 2016. |
| Consistent. |
| **Policy SUST-02** - The University shall reduce greenhouse gas emissions and the use of non-renewable resources by complying with the campus-wide sustainability programs. |
| A. All Notice of Impending Development submittals shall be supported by an evaluation of the project’s consistency with the campus-wide sustainability programs, including but not limited to measures pertaining to: |
| • Green Building; |
| • Clean Energy; |
| • Transportation; |
| Consistent. |
• Climate Protection;
• Sustainable Operations;
• Waste Reduction and Recycling;
• Environmentally Preferable Purchasing;
• Sustainable Foodservice; and
• Water Conservation.

| Policy SUST-03 | The University shall promote the use of vehicles with alternative fuel sources on campus by such means as: locating infrastructure to support alternative vehicles (e.g., electrical vehicle charging stations), or providing incentives such as first-floor parking spaces and discounts on long-term parking passes. Electrical vehicle charging stations shall be provided in the necessary numbers and conveniently located in campus housing developments as well as in the parking facilities on each campus to encourage the use of such vehicles. | Consistent. |
| Policy SUST-04 | The campus shall continue to reduce greenhouse gas emissions in accordance with the campus Climate Action Plan and shall continue to inventory and publicly report all greenhouse gas emissions annually in accordance with the protocol set forth by The Climate Registry. | Consistent. |
| Policy SUST-05 | The University shall reduce consumption of non-renewable energy by using a portfolio approach that includes a combination of energy efficiency projects, the incorporation of local renewable power measures for existing and new facilities, green power purchases from the electrical grid, and other energy measures with equivalent demonstrable effect on the environment and reduction in fossil fuel usage. | Consistent. |
| Policy SUST-06 | The University shall minimize energy use and reduce pollution through such methods as the use of solar power and other renewable energy systems, natural lighting, passive solar heating and cooling and other techniques to produce energy efficient development, building management techniques such as smart metering and lighting/appliance management systems that limit waste, and use of light colored buildings and roofing materials. | Consistent. |
| Policy SUST-07 | The campus shall continue to monitor energy usage and make available for public review an Annual Energy Report detailing purchased electricity and natural gas consumption, as well as onsite and offsite renewable energy | Consistent. |
### WATER SUPPLY AND DEMAND

**Policy PS-01** - In recognition of the need to conserve and manage its water resources to achieve the LRDP land use planning objectives, the University shall implement a water conservation program as follows:

**A.** Water consumption in existing and new development shall be minimized by using the best available water-conserving plumbing fixtures.

**B.** Landscaping practices shall minimize potable water use by: planting locally native plant species and/or non-invasive, drought tolerant species; using reclaimed water for landscaping to the maximum extent feasible; designing efficient irrigation systems that use the minimum amount of water necessary for the applicable landscaping; and maintaining and managing irrigation systems to ensure continued water efficiency.

**C.** The University shall maintain a public awareness campaign on campus and in campus residential facilities for saving water. All dormitory residents shall be required to receive annual training on water conservation.

**Policy PS-02** - Future development provided for in the LRDP land use plan will only be authorized after the University demonstrates at the time of NOID submittal that adequate water supplies, water mains, reclaimed water distribution systems, water treatment facilities, sewer services, utility lines, parking lots and structures, roadways and bicycle/pedestrian corridors, fire suppression facilities, and other essential infrastructure services will be available to supply the existing and proposed development.

**Policy PS-03** - For development that requires water supply, at the time of NOID submittal, the University shall provide, sufficient water conservation, efficiency, and supply strategies to factually support a projection of adequate permanent future supplies for the life of the entire development. To minimize impacts to the long-term water supply, each new development shall offset the development’s anticipated potable water use in accordance with the following hierarchy. Notwithstanding—

**Consistent.** The proposed building will replace existing buildings and not increase campus population. Therefore, no new water supplies or facilities will be needed.

**Consistent.** The University will continue to apply water conservation and efficiency measures, as well as supply strategies.
ing the availability of GWD water supplies, the following water conservation measures shall be implemented to the maximum extent feasible, except as required pursuant to Policy PS-07, prior reliance on GWD’s potable water supply:
A. Maximum feasible incorporation into the proposed project plans of water conservation and efficiency measures, and reclaimed water use measures.
B. Increased campus water conservation and efficiency measures, and increased campus reclaimed water use to reduce campus potable consumption, such as for irrigation, use in toilets, and in industrial applications.
C. Further development of enhanced reclaimed water systems on campus to utilize reclaimed water for industrial applications such as cooling towers to reduce potable consumption.
D. New uses of reclaimed water on campus as technology and systems become available.

| **Policy PS-04** | **Consistent.** The proposed building will replace existing buildings and not increase population on Main Campus. As a precautionary measure, it would be prudent to estimate project water demand because of the currently-declared water drought in the area. Although the existing and proposed water use is/will be agglomerated under a master meter and not metered individually, an estimate of project water supply demand could be obtained by using water duty factors. The 2008 LRDP EIR utilized a water duty demand factor of 0.184 acre-feet/year (AFY) per 1,000 square feet of classroom/laboratory/other development, as defined in the Goleta Water District 2005 Urban Water Management Plan. The proposed before/after development square footage from Table 1 above could be used to estimate the before and after project water supply demand. The existing water use would be approximately 7,855 GSF(0.184 AFY/1,000 GSF) = 1.445 AFY. The proposed water use would be approximately 1,440 GSF(0.184 ASF/1,000 GSF) = 0.265 AFY. The proposed water supply demand on site would decrease; although the demand would presumably be re-distributed to other campus buildings due to personnel re-locations associated with the project. Therefore, no new water supplies or facilities will be needed. |

| **Policy PS-04** | **Consistent.** The proposed building will replace existing buildings and not increase population on Main Campus. As a precautionary measure, it would be prudent to estimate project water demand because of the currently-declared water drought in the area. Although the existing and proposed water use is/will be agglomerated under a master meter and not metered individually, an estimate of project water supply demand could be obtained by using water duty factors. The 2008 LRDP EIR utilized a water duty demand factor of 0.184 acre-feet/year (AFY) per 1,000 square feet of classroom/laboratory/other development, as defined in the Goleta Water District 2005 Urban Water Management Plan. The proposed before/after development square footage from Table 1 above could be used to estimate the before and after project water supply demand. The existing water use would be approximately 7,855 GSF(0.184 AFY/1,000 GSF) = 1.445 AFY. The proposed water use would be approximately 1,440 GSF(0.184 ASF/1,000 GSF) = 0.265 AFY. The proposed water supply demand on site would decrease; although the demand would presumably be re-distributed to other campus buildings due to personnel re-locations associated with the project. Therefore, no new water supplies or facilities will be needed. |

---

Policy PS-04 - A project-specific water availability analysis shall be provided for each proposed development that requires water input and shall be submitted with the Notice of Impending Development. At the time a new campus building is proposed, and before environmental review is complete, the University shall meet with GWD and ascertain that permanent potable water supplies of the quantity needed to serve the proposed development are available from the District as part of the water availability analysis. The water availability analysis shall include but not be limited to the following information:

1. a description of cumulative campus development (existing and approved);
2. cumulative water use (for existing and approved development), including use by University-owned facilities occupied or operated by third parties (such as food service or other vendors, affiliated or independent research programs and institutes, summer programs and camps using University-owned facilities, etc.) and outdoor recreational facilities, landscaping, habitat restoration sites (such as Ocean Meadows), open space and habitat management, and the Coal Oil Point Reserve;
3. an estimate of the remaining quantity of water supply available to the University within the University’s 945 AFY Consistent.
planning threshold (which, depending on development location, would be served by a portion of one of the University’s three existing allotments from Goleta Water District, including the 945 AFY available campus wide, the 200 AFY available at North Campus, and the 66 AFY available at Devereux School) establishing the maximum amount of potable water needed to fully serve the 2010 LRDP build-out;
(4) the estimated quantity of potable water necessary to serve the proposed development;
(5) an analysis of year-to-year compliance with campus conservation goals articulated in the 2013 Campus Water Action Plan approved by the Regents of the University of California, and as updated from time to time;
(6) a cumulative regional assessment of water supply and demand within the Goleta Water District’s (GWD) boundaries. This assessment shall include a narrative of any changes to GWD’s cumulative water supply and demand setting.

| **Policy PS-05** | The University shall participate in water use reductions during declared water supply shortages within Goleta Water District (GWD) boundaries and/or other affected campus water service areas to the maximum extent feasible. For each formally declared water shortage Stage I-V, the campus will meet with the GWD and establish specific emergency water conservation benchmarks expressed as a percentage of the University’s regular potable water use based on that assessment, the campus will further reduce potable water consumption to the maximum extent feasible. Once implemented, the pertinent short-term water use reductions shall be maintained to the maximum extent feasible until the GWD reduces or lifts the pertinent water shortage declaration. |
| **Policy PS-06** | If the long-term water supplies relied on by the University in planning the 2010 LRDP (i.e., the 945 AFY planning threshold) build-out are jeopardized and/or cannot be acquired and delivered from Goleta Water District (GWD), the University shall halt further water-consuming development under the LRDP unless the University secures the equivalent offsets by underwriting measures to conserve existing potable water supplies within the customer base of GWD, or by underwriting new infrastructure construction to deliver reclaimed water. |

| **Consistent** | The University will continue to apply water conservation and efficiency measures during the formally declared Stage III drought. |
| **Consistent** | The long-term water supplies have not been jeopardized and can be acquired/delivered as of August 18, 2015. |
water to GWD customers presently irrigating with potable water. For example, the University may, in cooperation with GWD, elect to meet a portion of, or all of, a proposed new campus building’s otherwise unmet water requirements by: 1) underwriting the installation of additional reclaimed water infrastructure (such as treatment systems, pipelines and metering systems) to deliver reclaimed water to existing agricultural water users served by Goleta Water District, or 2) through the retrofitting of existing development within the Isla Vista/Goleta Water District service area by such measures as replacing appliances with certified low water and energy use appliances, and installing low flow showerheads and toilet fixtures. At the time of NOID submittal, if the University has selected such an option to ensure adequate potable water supplies for the subject development, the University shall provide to the satisfaction of the Executive Director: a) evidence of the certification by GWD of the equivalent potable water conservation and b) evidence of a binding contract between the University and GWD to permanently secure and redirect the equivalent potable water supply for the University’s benefit.

**Policy PS-07** – A. The University shall annually prepare and submit to the Executive Director a report analyzing campus water supply and demand including but not limited to information required in these water supply and demand policies which shall reflect campus-wide demand information tabulated annually, expressed in acre-feet per year, and separated into potable and reclaimed water supply categories. The report shall include an estimate of the potable water necessary to serve the remaining building of the 2010 LRDP. The report shall also include the results of any short-term water use reductions implemented by the University during the previous year in response to water shortages affecting the Goleta Water District, and GWD’s most recent projection of its water supply portfolio for the forthcoming year. The University shall make the report available to the public by posting the report on the University’s website, and shall reference the report available to the public by posting the report on the University’s website, and shall reference the report in any environmental review process for new development.

B. The policies of the 2010 LRDP notwithstanding, if the Ex-
The Executive Director of the Coastal Commission determines that an extraordinary water supply shortage to GWD’s water supply exists based on:

1) the report provided by the University pursuant to Subparagraph A (above); or
2) a declaration, or similar official action, by the Governor, the State Water Resources Control Board, or the Goleta Water District;

Then any NOID submitted to the Commission thereafter shall demonstrate that the development will not result in a net increase of potable water demand over existing use levels at the time the NOID is submitted.

### PUBLIC ACCESS & CIRCULATION – 30210 & 30221

#### PUBLIC ACCESS

**Policy PA-12** - Motor vehicle traffic generated by new development shall not restrict or impede public access to or along the coast by exceeding the roadway capacity of existing coastal access routes on Campus. Should any proposed development significantly impact the roadway capacity of existing coastal access routes on Campus, the University shall implement or pay its fair share of costs to the City of Goleta and/or County of Santa Barbara to implement improvements to roadways and intersections or other traffic control measures necessary to mitigate the impacts.

**Consistent.** The project does not propose new motor vehicle traffic, other than the temporary traffic associated with demolition and construction. The temporary traffic volume would be insignificant and would not affect roadway capacities.

#### CIRCULATION

**Policy TRANS-01** -
A. The University will work with the Cities, County, SBCAG, SBMTD and other transit providers to provide a balanced transportation system on campus, offering vehicular, bicycle, pedestrian, and transit mobility, including augmentation of external transit systems with University shuttle systems to increase capacity, efficiency, and use by the UCSB-affiliated population. The University shall include in the plans and designs submitted in support of the requisite Notice of Impending Development for new campus development, intersection and roadway improvements necessary to offset the proportional impacts of the University’s LRDP build-out on roadway

**Consistent.** The project would not create new motor vehicle traffic, other than the brief presence of vehicles associated with the demolition and construction.
capacity. Roadway and intersection improvements shall not conflict with existing or planned pedestrian and bicycle facilities or degrade mobility for pedestrians and bicyclists. The University shall maintain campus intersections at a minimum Level of Service D.

B. If a proposed project causes an intersection to degrade to LOS E, measures shall be identified and implemented to restore operations to LOS D or better conditions. Prior to intersection improvements, the University shall implement alternative transportation measures to reduce roadway demand such as the timing of “after hours” parking; additional bus and/or shuttle service; additional incentives to faculty, staff, and students to utilize the available alternative modes of transportation; or other similar measures.

**Policy TRANS-04** - To improve traffic flow and thereby reduce auto emissions, the University shall implement Commission-approved improvements to the transportation and parking system, including roadways, parking, bicycle, and pedestrian facilities, necessary to ensure that traffic congestion, auto emissions, and other adverse impacts from the increased traffic associated with a pending development are fully mitigated. Transportation and parking system measures shall be subject to a Notice of Impending Development (NOID). Where such measures are necessary to mitigate the impacts of new development, the University shall submit the improvements with the relevant Notice of Impending Development. The Commission may condition the NOID to ensure that these requirements are met.

Consistent. The project does not propose increased traffic. The existing on-site bicycle parking would be replaced on the space vacated by Building 411/adjacent to the existing bicycle path.

**Policy TRANS-05** - The University will work with MTD, SBCAG Traffic Solutions, and Clean Air Express to develop a transit plan to offset the increased demand for public transit that will result from build-out of the LRDP. The University shall provide for subsidies, free passes, additional transit services, transit vehicles, and transit facilities, including community car-loan pools such as Zip-Car, and media costs such as for related motivational outreach to UCSB affiliates, to address future transit overloads that will otherwise result from unmitigated future campus growth.

Consistent.

**Policy TRANS-06** - The University shall provide additional bicycle parking facilities as part of all campus building projects. The University shall periodically survey campus bicy-

Consistent. The existing on-site bicycle will be preserved or reconfigured to accommodate its existing capacity.
clists (at a minimum before undertaking the environmental review of significant projects) to determine the kinds and locations of bicycle facilities and other bicycle support features (such as bus access for bicyclists, securable bicycle lockers, etc.) that are most needed. The University shall incorporate the requested features in new campus development projects to the maximum extent feasible. The University shall additionally provide bicycle parking facilities near public coastal accessways and trails, where appropriate, to support public access opportunities while ensuring adequate protection of sensitive resources. The bicycle features shall be indicated on the campus visitor’s map upon construction. The University shall identify the requisite bicycle parking facilities as part of the Notice of Impending Development submittal for all significant new campus development proposals.

**Policy TRANS-07** - Site plans submitted in support of the Notice of Impending Development for all significant new campus development proposals shall include: a) pedestrian and bicycle corridors designed to link the development with other campus locations and with coastal access and recreational amenities in a manner that reduces vehicle miles traveled by campus affiliates, and b) where appropriate, public trails and vehicle/bicycle parking amenities designed to facilitate continuing public coastal visitor access to coastal access and recreational amenities available on and near the campus. All public trails shall be clearly signed to ensure that campus visitors are aware of coastal access availability.

**Consistent.** The proposed project will maintain existing pedestrian and bicycle corridors in and around the site.

**Policy TRANS-10** - The University shall contribute funds toward intersection and transportation improvements in the City of Goleta and County of Santa Barbara proportionate to the University's impacts to the intersection and/or roadway.

**Consistent.**

**LAND RESOURCES/ESH - 30240 (a) & (b)**

**Policy ESH-06** – Operational noise levels shall not exceed state standards. The following operational noise sources are not subject to the maximum sound levels:

(a) Noise of safety signals, warning devices and emergency pressure relief valves; and

(b) Noise from moving sources such as tractors, automobiles, trucks, airplanes, etc.

**Consistent.** Noise associated with demolition would be from moving sources.
For all special events where the proposed event or activity is expected to generate significant noise in close proximity to sensitive receptor locations, the campus shall impose limitations on the hours of the event or activity.

**Policy ESH-07** – Construction noise levels shall not exceed state standards of 65dB(A) at property lines except at Coal Oil Point Reserve where the maximum allowable construction sound levels shall be more restrictive and shall not exceed 60 decibels on the A-weighted scale.

**Consistent.** Project is not located at property lines.

**Policy ESH-09** – Fencing and other types of barrier installations on campus shall be wildlife-safe and wildlife-permeable, except where such barriers are necessary to restrict unauthorized human entry, the restricted area has no habitat value, and the placement of the barrier does not have an adverse impact on wildlife. Development in or adjacent to environmentally sensitive habitat areas or open space shall be designed and constructed to ensure the safe movement by wildlife (such as through the clustering structures and the installation of bridged crossings of wetlands to replace culverts, etc.).

**Consistent.** Construction fencing would be necessary to restrict unauthorized human entry into the site during demolition and construction. The site is highly urbanized, has no habitat value, and the placement of the barrier will not have an adverse impact on wildlife. The project would not be in or adjacent to ESHA or open space.

**Policy ESH-11** – The use of any noxious and/or invasive plant species listed as problematic, a 'noxious weed' and/or invasive by the California Native Plant Society, the California Exotic Pest Plant Council, the State of California or the U.S. Federal Government shall be prohibited in all campus landscaping.

**Consistent.** The proposed landscaping will not include any noxious or invasive species.

**Policy ESH-14** – Topsoil that is excavated, stored, or moved as part of an approved development shall be managed to preserve the viability of the mycorrhizae by being stockpiled no higher than 3 feet to protect the viability of the mycorrhizae. To the extent feasible, topsoil should be reused on site or for restoration.

**Consistent.** No topsoil will be excavated while removing the buildings and foundation or constructing the replacement modular building.

**Policy ESH-15** – The University shall replace and/or retrofit all outdoor lighting within ten (10) years following the date of effective certification of the 2010 LRDP to minimize the campus lighting footprint/envelope consistent with the following:

A. The University shall prepare a campus-wide Baseline Outdoor Lighting Assessment that:

1. Provides an inventory, map, and detailed description of existing outdoor lighting;
2. Identifies stand-alone (pole-mounted, bollards, etc.) Light

**Consistent.** Three non-compliant pole-mounted lights along the northern border of the site will be replaced as a component of the nearby Bioengineering Building project.
fixtures that do not comply with the design and efficiency standards set forth in Subparagraph C below; and
3. Describes the lighting specifications used to measure compliance with the design and efficiency standards set forth in Subparagraph C below.

B. The University shall prepare and submit an Outdoor Lighting Replacement and Retrofit Program as an LRDP Amendment for Commission approval within 18 months after the updated LRDP is certified. The Program shall:
1. Include the Baseline Assessment developed pursuant to Subparagraph A above;
2. Provide a replacement/retrofit map that identifies the location of all non-compliant outdoor lights and describes whether each light shall be replaced or retrofitted;
3. Identify a suite of target technologies and lighting specifications to meet the requirements of Subparagraph C below.
4. Prioritize the replacement and/or retrofit of the identified lights with the highest priority assigned to the non-compliant outdoor sports and recreation facility lighting and the second highest priority assigned to non-compliant outdoor lights of any kind in closest in proximity to ESHA, wetlands, or open space; when replacement/retrofit is implemented in conjunction with a NOID for a new development, the highest priority may, alternately, be assigned to the nearest non-compliant lighting proximate to the proposed development;
5. Identify a proposed schedule to incrementally implement the replacement/retrofit in the order prioritized as part of each campus construction project to ensure full replacement/retrofit within ten years of the certification of the 2010 LRDP; this shall include measurable goals to be implemented with each NOID; and
6. Be implemented as part of each campus development that includes an outdoor lighting component; additionally, the Program may be implemented through a series of separate projects as necessary to achieve full Program implementation in the given time-frame.

C. All outdoor lighting shall be designed to avoid, or minimize to the maximum extent feasible, all forms of light pollution, including light trespass, glare, and sky glow, and shall at a minimum incorporate the following:
1. Best available visor technology to minimize light spill and
direct/focalize lighting downward, toward the targeted area(s) only;
2. The minimum standard (pole) height and height of the light mounting necessary to achieve the identified lighting design objective;
3. The best available technology and a lighting spectrum designed to minimize lighting impacts on sensitive species and habitat; and
4. Measures to minimize light trespass onto ESHA and open space areas.

D. As part of the routine maintenance and replacement of outdoor light fixtures and bulbs, including repair and maintenance of fixtures attached to buildings, the University shall use new materials that meet or exceed the standards set forth in Subparagraph C.

E. New or retrofitted lighting of outdoor sports facilities shall be limited to the Recreation-designated lands at Harder Stadium, the two approved tennis courts on Storke Campus, and within the Main Campus recreational complex as it exists as of the date of certification of the 2010 LRDP within the area delineated on the “Limits of Outdoor Sports Lighting Map” in Appendix 4. New outdoor lighting for sports purposes outside of the limits shown on the “Limits of Outdoor Sports Lighting Map” shall be prohibited. Existing night lighting of sports facilities elsewhere on campus shall be considered a non-conforming use/structure. New or retrofitted sports lighting shall require a Commission-approved Notice of Impending Development, which shall not be processed until the Commission certifies the Outdoor Lighting Replacement and Retrofit Program required pursuant to Subparagraph B above, and shall meet the standards set forth in Subparagraph C above and the following additional requirements:
1. Shall not exceed the minimum level of power and brightness necessary for the proposed level of collegiate or intramural use; and
2. Shall mitigate the impact of new lighting by retrofitting or removing existing sports lighting and other outdoor lighting sources consistent with the identified priorities in Subparagraph B above.

F. Development with an outdoor lighting component shall comply with the standards set forth in Subparagraph C of this
policy. In addition, the NOID for each development with an outdoor lighting component shall implement a portion of the Outdoor Lighting Replacement and Retrofit Program consistent with the provisions of Subparagraph B above. Prior to the approval of the Outdoor Lighting Replacement and Retrofit Program, each NOID with an outdoor lighting component shall include outdoor lighting retro fits/ replacements in the nearest feasible location(s) to the proposed development. The NOID shall include a lighting plan and lighting specifications that identify the location of lights, the light fixture type, the light spectrum/bulb, the direction of light, and any special measures or treatments to control light spill for all on-site and off-site replaced/retrofitted outdoor lighting. The replacement schedule/map shall be updated and submitted in support of each NOID to track the progress of the Program implementation.

G. The University shall submit to the Executive Director of the Commission an annual report tracking the incremental progress of the Outdoor Lighting Replacement and Retrofit Program. The report shall indicate the location, type, and specifications for outdoor lighting replacements and retrofits that occurred in the previous year and priority areas for the subsequent year.

WETLANDS, ESHAS & TREES

Policy ESH-21 – Biological resources surveys shall be performed for all new development that is proposed where there is a potential for sensitive species, ESHA, or wetlands to be present; within or adjacent to ESHA (where the proposed development is within 200 feet of ESHA); within or adjacent (within 200 feet) to wetlands; within or adjacent (within 200 feet) to designated Open Space or other natural open space areas; or within 500 feet of trees suitable for nesting or roosting or significant foraging habitat is present. The results shall be presented in a biological report that shall include an analysis of the potential impacts of the proposed development on any identified habitat or species and recommendations for siting and design of the development to ensure protection of sensitive biological resources and habitat values. Where es-

Consistent. The site is highly urbanized and contains no trees suitable for nesting or roosting, or presence of significant foraging habitat.

---

3 NOID 4-10 Davidson Library Addition Project.
established public agency “protocols” exist for the survey of a particular species or habitat, the preparing biologist shall undertake the survey and subsequent analysis in accordance with the requirements of the protocol and shall be trained and credentialed by the pertinent agency to undertake the subject protocol survey when such training and credentialing is available.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Policy ESH-27 – Raptor habitat, including nesting trees, roosting trees, perching locations, and foraging habitat, shall be protected and preserved.</th>
<th>Consistent. The site is highly urbanized and contains no raptor habitat².</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

² NOID 4-10 Davidson Library Addition Project.
from imminent danger, authorization is required where such activity would occur in ESHA or Open Space through an emergency permit,

2. Trimming or removal of trees located outside of ESHA or Open Space areas during June 15 to September 1, provided where a qualified biologist has found that there are no active raptor nests or colonial birds roosts within 500 feet of the trees to be trimmed or removed, or

3. Is part of a development or redevelopment approved pursuant to a Notice of Impending Development.

C. To preserve roosting habitat for bird species and monarch butterflies, tree(s) associated with new development, redevelopment, or renovation that are either native or have the potential to provide habitat for raptors or other sensitive species shall be preserved and protected to the greatest extent feasible. Where native, or otherwise biologically significant, trees are retained, new development shall be sited a minimum of five feet from the outer edge of that tree’s canopy drip-line. The removal of such trees shall be evaluated pursuant to the Notice of Impending Development for the new development. Prior to the removal of any native and/or sensitive tree for development purposes, the University shall conduct biological studies to show whether the tree(s) provide nesting, roosting, or foraging habitat for raptors and sensitive bird species, aggregation or significant foraging sites for monarch butterflies, or habitat for other sensitive biological resources. The Commission may condition the subject Notice of Impending Development to secure the seasonal timing restrictions and mitigation requirements otherwise set forth in the Campus Tree Trimming and Removal Program in Appendix 2.

ARCHEOLOGICAL RESOURCES – 30244

Policy ARC-01 - New development that requires ground disturbance shall be evaluated for its potential to impact archaeological resources. Site research, records reviews and archaeological surveys shall be undertaken by a Registered Professional. This documentation shall be submitted with the Notice of Impending Development. Consistent. No known archaeological sites exist on the site. Therefore, the potential for impact to archaeological resources is low. The project does not propose new development. The foundation for Building 429 will be removed. In the unlikely event that resources are discovered during removal of the foundation, Policy ARC-05 will be heeded.

Policy ARC-02 - The Department of Anthropology and Native American tribal groups approved by the Native American Heritage Commission for the area shall be consulted when development may adversely impact archeological resources. Consistent. No known archaeological sites exist on the site. Therefore, the potential for impact to archaeological resources is low. In the unlikely event that resources are discovered during the removal of building 429 foundation, the
| Policy ARC-03 - A mitigation plan shall be prepared by a Registered Professional Archaeologist when development may adversely impact archaeological resources. The mitigation plan shall be prepared in consultation with Native American tribal groups approved by the Native American Heritage Commission for the area, and the State Historic Preservation Officer, as applicable. Mitigation shall be designed in accordance with guidelines of the State Office of Historic Preservation and the State of California Native American Heritage Commission and shall, as the first priority, preserve the resources in place. Where in-situ preservation is not feasible, partial or total recovery of archaeological resources shall be undertaken. | Consistent. No known archaeological sites exist on the site. Therefore, the potential for impact to archaeological resources is low. In the unlikely event that resources are discovered during removal of Building 429 foundation, a mitigation plan will be prepared. |
| Policy ARC-04 - Archaeological monitors shall be on-site during all earth moving activities and/or other ground disturbances that have the potential to uncover or otherwise disturb archaeological resources. A Registered Professional Archaeological consultant and a Native American representative shall both be present. | Consistent. No known archaeological sites exist on the site. Therefore, the potential for impact to archaeological resources is low. In the unlikely event that resources are discovered during removal of the foundation, archaeological monitors will be summoned. |
| Policy ARC-05 - If archaeological or paleontological resources are discovered in the course of construction, all activity which could damage or destroy these resources shall be immediately halted. A Registered Professional Archaeologist, or paleontologist as applicable, shall examine the site and provide an evaluation of the nature and significance of the resources. Mitigation measures shall be developed and implemented to address the impacts of the development on the resources. The Office of Campus Planning and Design shall determine whether the development or mitigation measures require a new Notice of Impending Development and shall notify Coastal Commission staff that archaeological or paleontological resources were discovered during construction. Activities that may adversely impact these resources shall not resume without written authorization from the University Office of Planning & Design that construction may proceed. | Consistent. No known archaeological sites exist on the site. Therefore, the potential for impact to archaeological resources is low. In the unlikely event that resources are discovered during excavation of Building 429 foundation, activity will be halted immediately, a registered professional will examine the site, and mitigation measures will be developed and implemented. |
| Policy ARC-06 - Vehicle use, unauthorized collecting of artifacts, or other activities that have the potential to destroy or disturb archaeological resources shall be prohibited. | Consistent. Access to the site will be restricted to project personnel. No unauthorized collection or other detrimental activities will be allowed. |
| Policy ARC-07 - Work shall be halted immediately when | Consistent. No known archaeological sites exist on the site. |
suspected human bone is discovered, regardless of context, until the coroner and a qualified archaeologist can examine the remains. University staff shall notify Coastal Commission staff of the nature of the discovery and that all work has been halted on the site. Activities shall not resume without written authorization from the Office of Campus Planning and Design that construction may proceed. Where Native American remains are discovered, further activities may require a Notice of Impending Development.

**Policy ARC-08** - New development shall be sited and designed to avoid adverse impacts to archaeological and paleontological resources to the maximum extent feasible. If there is no feasible alternative that eliminates all impacts to these resources, then the alternative that would result in the fewest or least significant impacts to resources shall be selected. Impacts to archaeological or paleontological resources that cannot be avoided through siting and design alternatives shall be fully mitigated.

**Consistent.** No new development is proposed.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MARINE ENVIRONMENT 30230, -31 and 36</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>GENERAL POLICIES</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Policy MAR-01</strong> - The University shall coordinate with and encourage action by the County of Santa Barbara, City of Santa Barbara, City of Goleta, and the Regional Water Quality Control Board to see that adjacent land uses are developed and operated in a manner that will sustain the biological productivity of campus marine resources.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Consistent.</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**COASTAL WATERS 30231 and -36**

**WATER QUALITY (EROSION AND SEDIMENTATION)**

**Policy WQ-01** - New development shall be sited, designed, and managed to prevent adverse impacts from stormwater or dry weather runoff to coastal waters and environmentally sensitive habitat areas. Sources of inflow to coastal wetlands shall be maintained so that the quality, volume and duration of flows do not diminish wetland hydrology.

**Consistent.** No new development is proposed. Best Management Practices will be used during demolition and construction.

**Policy WQ-02** –
A. Proposed campus development shall be sited, designed, constructed, operated and managed in accordance with the water quality protection requirements set forth in this LRDP, including Appendix 3, Water Quality Protection, which is hereby incorporated in full, by reference as part of this policy. Appendix 3 requires new development, which entails con-
struction or other activities or land uses that have the potential to release pollutants into coastal waters, to submit a water quality protection plan (see Appendix 3 for Construction Pollution Prevention Plan, Post Development Runoff Plan, Water Quality and Hydrology Plan, as applicable) with the NOID. Appendix 3 provides implementation-level requirements to develop each type of water quality protection plan that may be necessary depending on the size and nature of the proposed development. Unless the Executive Director determines that future proposed changes to the contents of Appendix 3 are de minimis, such changes shall require an LRDP amendment. All revisions of Appendix 3 shall be timely published, including the date of the specific revision.

B. Development shall be sited and designed consistent with the following runoff control priorities, and implemented through the water quality protection plans in compliance with Appendix 3 (Water Quality Protection Program):

1. First, where drainage from campus lands may directly or indirectly flow into coastal waters, the first priority for the plans and designs of proposed campus development shall be the prevention of an increase in post-construction stormwater runoff volume or velocity compared with existing site conditions.

2. Second, where despite the inclusion of all feasible measures to achieve the first priority an increase in site runoff cannot be fully avoided, the project plans and designs shall include all feasible additional drainage management measures necessary to slow, capture, treat, infiltrate, and detain stormwater runoff on site to the maximum extent feasible, and in the manner that best protects coastal resources, including wetlands, environmentally sensitive habitat areas, and coastal waters.

3. Third, where despite the inclusion of all feasible measures to avoid offsite discharge of stormwater and dry weather runoff, the interconnected nature of existing and future campus development locations or site-specific physical conditions (such as the presence of relatively impervious clay soils) limit the effectiveness of on-site retention options, the University may allow runoff to be discharge, including as necessary piping of runoff under roadways or sidewalks, to a permitted offsite drainage management facility where the runoff is treat-
ed to remove pollutants and is retained and/or discharged in a non-erosive manner.

C. To maximize the protection of water quality, the University shall prioritize the use of earthen-based, bioengineered runoff treatment facilities such as bioswales or vegetated filter strips. Bioengineered runoff treatment facilities may incorporate energy dissipaters, sand filters, retention basins and engineered soils and substrates if warranted by site conditions. Drainage features may include vegetation as an intentional component of the design (such as swales planted with grass species) or in some cases a non-vegetated structure may support volunteer vegetation. In either case, regular management of the vegetation associated with the subject drainage feature, and/or of the feature itself (such as sediment removal), is necessary (1) to ensure the optimal performance of the structure, and (2) to limit the establishment or overgrowth of vegetation. Therefore, the University shall submit a detailed monitoring and low impact, non-chemical maintenance plan (relying on mowing, hand weeding, or confined short-term grazing) designed to prevent the overgrowth of vegetation in drainage management structures, and for periodic maintenance activities in addition to vegetation management, such as sediment removal and disposal. This maintenance plan shall include a schedule for proposed maintenance and a monitoring program to ensure that the required maintenance achieves the prescribed standard of vegetation control.

D. Where the University demonstrates that a permitted drainage facility that was created from dry land has been diligently managed and monitored in accordance with the requirements of the pertinent permit, the facility will not be considered a “wetland” for the purpose of interpreting the LRDP when future maintenance, modification, or removal of the structure is proposed. As such, the Commission will not require compensatory mitigation for acreage affected by the proposed activity. However, measures will be required to limit or avoid impacts to coastal resources when such activities are proposed (such as setbacks from nearby habitat, seasonal restrictions on timing of work, relocation of sensitive species, etc.).

E. Site plans and designs for new development shall include source control measures which can be structural features or operational actions, to control pollutant sources, minimize
runoff, and keep pollutants segregated from stormwater. Site plans and designs for new development shall concurrently emphasize runoff management, integrating existing site characteristics that affect runoff (such as topography, drainage, vegetation, soil conditions, and infiltration properties) with strategies that minimize post-project runoff, control pollutant sources, and where necessary remove pollutants. Site plans and designs shall be in compliance with the water quality protection plans required in Appendix 3, Water Quality Protection Program. The plans and designs for all drainage facilities proposed by the University on lands that may directly or indirectly drain to coastal waters shall be designed by a California-licensed professional in consultation with a qualified biologist, and shall include detailed information that supports the finding that the proposed development is sited, designed, constructed, operated, and maintained in the manner most protective of coastal resources including wetlands, environmentally sensitive habitat, and coastal waters. Sufficient evidence to demonstrate compliance of the proposed project with the requirements of Policy WQ-02 shall be submitted in support of the Notice of Impending Development and the NOID may be conditioned by the Commission to ensure that these requirements are met.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Policy WQ-03 - Stormwater and dry weather runoff management shall be addressed early in site design planning and alternatives analyses, taking into account existing site characteristics that affect runoff, (such as topography, drainage, vegetation, soil conditions, natural hydrologic features, and infiltration conditions) in designing strategies that minimize post-development changes in the runoff flow regime, control pollutant sources, and, where necessary, remove pollutants. The University shall within a reasonable amount of time, develop a comprehensive surface water quality monitoring program for all discharges from campus. Properties and/or discharges with the highest levels of water pollution will be evaluated and water quality problems addressed, beginning with discharge deemed unhealthful or unsafe for human contact.</th>
<th>Consistent. No new development is proposed. Best Management Practices will be used during demolition and construction.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Policy WQ-05 - The University shall site, design, construct and manage development to preserve or enhance vegetation that provides water quality benefits such as transpiration,</td>
<td>Consistent. No new development is proposed. Removal of existing vegetation will be minimized. Temporary construction fencing will be used to define the project boundaries. Tempo-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy WQ-06 - The University shall design, construct and manage campus development to minimize the introduction of pollutants, including trash and sediment, into coastal waters. Pollutants shall not be allowed to enter coastal waters through drainage systems. Low Impact Development (LID) strategies shall be used to emphasize an integrated system of decentralized, small-scale control measures that minimize alteration of the site’s natural hydrologic conditions through infiltration, evapotranspiration, filtration, detention, and retention of runoff close to its source. Traps and filters for roadway contaminants shall be provided as part of all drainage structures.</td>
<td>Consistent. Best management practices will be used.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consistent. The project would remove the net site impervious surface area of one building.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consistent. Post-development runoff volume would decrease because the project would remove impervious surface.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consistent. Best management practices will be used.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
measures, and preventing soil compaction unless required for structural support;

**B.** Whenever practical, land on the North and West Campus where there is a risk of erosion that may affect ESHAs, plan the project in increments of workable size which can be completed during a single construction season;

**C.** Erosion and sediment control measures are to be coordinated with the sequence of grading. Sediment basins, sediment traps, or similar sediment control measures shall be installed before extensive clearing and grading operations begin for campus development; and

**D.** Fill areas shall have suitable protection against erosion and shall not encroach on Devereux Slough, Storke Campus Wetlands, Campus Lagoon or any other natural watercourses or constructed channels on campus.

**Policy WQ-10** - Grading operations that have the potential to deliver sediment to wetlands, environmentally sensitive habitat areas, or coastal waters shall be scheduled during the dry months of the year (May through October). The construction timeline may be extended into the rainy season for a specific, limited length of time, based on an inspection of the site, and a determination that conditions at the project site are suitable for. Continuation of work may be allowed if appropriate erosion and sedimentation control measures are in place and will be maintained during the activity. If grading occurs during the rainy season (November through April), sediment traps, barriers, covers or other methods shall be used to reduce erosion and sedimentation in compliance with Appendix 3, Water Quality Protection Program.

**Consistent.** No grading operations are proposed with removal of the foundations or construction of the pier-on-pad foundation.

**Policy WQ-11** - Excavated materials shall not be deposited or stored where the material can be washed away by storm water runoff. Topsoil removed from the surface in preparation for grading and construction is to be stored on or near the site, where the stockpile area(s) will not impact natural vegetation, and protected from erosion while grading operations are underway, provided that the topsoil is also managed consistent with Policy ESH-14. Appropriate measures shall be taken to protect the preserved topsoil from erosion and runoff through such measures as tarping, jute netting, silt fencing, and sandbagging soil. After completion of such grading, topsoil is to be restored to exposed cut and fill embankments of

**Consistent.** No excavation is proposed.
building pads so as to provide a suitable base for seeding and planting. These requirements shall be incorporated into applicable water quality protection plans (Construction Pollution Prevention Plan, Post-Development Runoff Plan, and/or Water Quality and Hydrology Plan as applicable) for processing during the NOID process as described in Appendix 3, Water Quality Protection Program.

**Policy WQ-12** - Drainage facilities, BMPs, or other water quality design features required for new development shall be inspected, maintained, operated and managed in a manner that ensures that the intended water quality protection performance requirements are met for the life of the development. This shall be reflected in the applicable water quality protection plan in compliance with Appendix 3, Water Quality Protection Program.

**Consistent.** A Water Quality Protection Plan will be created and executed.

**Policy WQ-17** - All sewage from campus development shall be disposed of in sanitary sewer lines or approved septic tank system subject to design and performance requirements of the Regional Water Quality Control Board.

**Consistent.** The proposed modular building plumbing will connect to the existing campus sewer infrastructure on site.

**HAZARDOUS MATERIALS POLICIES**

**Policy HAZ-1** - The University shall comply with hazardous material and hazardous waste laws and regulations, including storage, handling, transport, disposal, and spills.

**Consistent.**

**Policy HAZ-2** - The University shall maintain and upgrade its resources for chemical spill response in order to minimize the risk of any hazardous materials release or threatened release.

**Consistent.**

**Policy HAZ-3** - The Environmental Health & Safety EH&S Office will appropriately dispose of hazardous materials.

**Consistent.**

**Policy HAZ-4** The University shall maintain and strengthen its hazardous waste minimization program. Waste minimization efforts by the EH&S Office will give particular consideration to monitoring of hazardous materials storage and handling procedures; recycling (onsite and offsite); source reduction goals; implementation procedures; and informational and educational programs.

**Consistent.**

**Policy HAZ-5** - If contaminated soil and/or contaminated groundwater are encountered during excavation and/or grading activities, except where such activities are implementing a Commission-approved remediation plan, the following steps

**Consistent.**
shall be taken:
(a) The construction contractor(s) shall stop work and immediately inform Environmental Health and Safety (EH&S);
(b) An on-site assessment shall be conducted to determine if the discovered materials pose a significant risk to the public or construction workers;
(c) If the materials are determined to pose such a risk, a remediation plan shall be prepared and submitted to EH&S to comply with all federal and state regulations necessary to clean and/or remove the contaminated soil and/or groundwater;
(d) Soil remediation methods could include, but are not necessarily limited to, excavation and on-site treatment, excavation and off-site treatment and/or disposal, and/or treatment without excavation;
(e) Remediation alternatives for contaminated groundwater could include, but are not necessarily limited to, on-site treatment, extraction and off-site treatment, and/or disposal; and
(f) The construction schedule shall be modified or delayed to ensure that construction will not obstruct remediation activities and will not expose the public or construction workers to significant risks associated with hazardous conditions. The Ellwood Marine Terminal Facility has a known contamination risk and shall be subject to Policy ESH-46.
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Appendix E. Public Notice and List of Interested Parties
PUBLIC NOTICE
NOTICE OF IMPENDING DEVELOPMENT
BUILDING 411 AND 429 DEMOLITION/REPLACEMENT PROJECT

Pursuant to the California Coastal Act the University of California, Santa Barbara (UCSB) has prepared and submitted a Notice of Impending Development for the Trailer 309, 320, and 327 Demolition Project.

The proposed project is to demolish and replace Campus Buildings 411 and 429, containing approximately 7,855 square feet of buildings without foundations, on UCSB Main Campus. The replacement building would be a modular construction of approximately 1,440 square feet. In addition, the project would remodel approximately 2,884 assignable square feet of modular building.

The Notice of Impending Development is available at http://www.facilities.ucsb.edu/files/docs/NOID_411_429_Demo.pdf or upon request at the UC Santa Barbara Office of Campus Planning and Design. For more information, please contact Steve Conner at 805-893-5216 or send email to steve.conner@planning.ucsb.edu.

ATTENTION: If you would like to receive future notices ELECTRONICALLY ONLY, or to change your contact information, please email steve.conner@planning.ucsb.edu

Steve Conner
Office of Campus Planning and Design
University of California, Santa Barbara
Santa Barbara, California, 93106-1030
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>City</th>
<th>State</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Santa Barbara Independent</td>
<td>122 W. Figueroa Street</td>
<td>Santa Barbara</td>
<td>CA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connie Hannah</td>
<td>328 E. Carrillo Street #A</td>
<td>Santa Barbara</td>
<td>CA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surfrider Foundation</td>
<td>P.O. Box 21703</td>
<td>Goleta</td>
<td>CA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LeAnne French</td>
<td>916 Anacapa Street</td>
<td>Santa Barbara</td>
<td>CA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California Department of Fish &amp; Game</td>
<td>1933 Cliff Drive #9</td>
<td>Santa Barbara</td>
<td>CA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molly Pearson</td>
<td>260 N. San Antonio Road, Suite A</td>
<td>Santa Barbara</td>
<td>CA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenneth Oplinger, ACE</td>
<td>104 W. Anacapa Street, Suite A</td>
<td>Santa Barbara</td>
<td>CA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goleta Sanitary District</td>
<td>1 William Moffit Place</td>
<td>Goleta</td>
<td>CA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chandra Waller</td>
<td>105 East Anapamu Street</td>
<td>Santa Barbara</td>
<td>CA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Barbara News Press</td>
<td>P.O. Box 1359</td>
<td>Santa Barbara</td>
<td>CA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roger Lagerquist</td>
<td>Isla Vista Association</td>
<td>Isla Vista</td>
<td>CA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rodney Gould</td>
<td>Isla Vista Recreation and Park District</td>
<td>Isla Vista</td>
<td>CA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jeniffer Carman</td>
<td>City of Goleta Planning Department</td>
<td>Goleta</td>
<td>CA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anne Wells</td>
<td>City of Goleta Planning Department</td>
<td>Goleta</td>
<td>CA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peter Imhof</td>
<td>Santa Barbara County Association of Governments</td>
<td>260 North San Antonio Road, Suite B</td>
<td>Santa Barbara</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional Water Quality Control Board</td>
<td>895 Aerovista Place 101</td>
<td>San Luis Obispo</td>
<td>CA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>President</td>
<td>Citizens for Goleta Valley</td>
<td>Goleta</td>
<td>CA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doreen Farr</td>
<td>Third District, SB Co. Bd. Of Supervisors</td>
<td>Santa Barbara</td>
<td>CA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glen Russell</td>
<td>County of Santa Barbara Planning and Development</td>
<td>123 East Anapamu St.</td>
<td>Santa Barbara</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Barbara Audubon Society</td>
<td>P.O. 5508</td>
<td>Santa Barbara</td>
<td>CA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Craig Geyer</td>
<td>IV Business Associates</td>
<td>Isla Vista</td>
<td>CA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linda Krop</td>
<td>Environmental Defense Center</td>
<td>Santa Barbara</td>
<td>CA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Captain of Planning &amp; Engineering</td>
<td>Santa Barbara County Fire Department</td>
<td>4410 Cathedral Oaks Road</td>
<td>Santa Barbara</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peter Neushul</td>
<td>Isla Vista Association</td>
<td>Isla Vista</td>
<td>CA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional Water Quality Control Board</td>
<td>895 Aerovista Place 101</td>
<td>San Luis Obispo</td>
<td>CA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Utilities Commission</td>
<td>505 Van Ness Avenue</td>
<td>San Francisco</td>
<td>CA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Barbara County Planning and Development</td>
<td>Long Range Planning Division</td>
<td>123 East Anapamu St.</td>
<td>Santa Barbara</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix F. Notice of Exemption
November 17, 2015

State of California  
Office of Planning and Research  
State Clearinghouse  
1400 Tenth Street, Room 222  
P.O. Box 3044  
Sacramento, CA  95812-3044

Subject: Notice of Exemption for Buildings 411 and 429 Demolition and Replacement, University of California, Santa Barbara.

To Whom It May Concern:

A Notice of Exemption for the proposed Buildings 411 and 429 Demolition and Replacement Project is attached for your review.

If there are any questions or concerns, please telephone me at (805) 893-5216 or send e-mail to steve.conner@planning.ucsb.edu.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Steve Conner  
Senior Planner

Attachments: NOE  
Preliminary Environmental Assessment
Notice of Exemption

To: Office of Planning and Research
PO Box 3044, 1400 Tenth Street, Room 222
Sacramento, CA 95812-3044
County Clerk of Santa Barbara

From: University of California
Santa Barbara
Office of Campus Planning and Design
Santa Barbara, CA 93106-1030

Project Title: Demolition of Buildings 411 and 429

Project Location – Specific: Main Campus of University of California, Santa Barbara

Project Location – City: Santa Barbara          Project Location – County: Santa Barbara

Project Description: The proposed demolition of Buildings 411 and 429 would remove 7,855 gross square feet of academic and support structures. The buildings would be replaced by a 1,440 square foot modular building in approximately the same location as Building 429. In addition, approximately 2,884 square feet of nearby existing modular building (Building 387) would be remodeled.

Name of Public or Agency Approving Project: UCSB Office of Campus Planning & Design

Name of Person or Agency Carrying Out Project: UCSB Design & Construction Services – Gene Horstín/Croft Yjader

Exempt Status: (check one)
☐ Ministerial (Sec. 21080 (b)(1); 15268);
☐ Declared Emergency (Sec. 21080(b)(3); 15269(a);
☐ Emergency Project (Se. 21080(b)(4); 15269 (b) (c);
☒ Categorical Exemption, Sec. 15302, Class 2, Replacement or Reconstruction, subdivision (a) replacement or reconstruction of existing schools and hospitals to provide earthquake resistant structures which do not increase capacity more than 50 percent. None of the exceptions to the exemption apply.

Reason why project is exempt:
The project would remove development and not expand an existing use. The project would be exempt from CEQA in accordance with Section 15061(b)(2).

Lead Agency
Contact Person: Steve Conner Area Code/Telephone/Extension: (805)893-5216

If filed by applicant:
1. Attach certified document of exemption finding.
2. Has a Notice of Exemption been filed by the public agency approving the project? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Signature: [Signature] Date: 7/20/15
Title: Sr. Planner Dept Name: Campus Planning and Design

CC: Alissa Hummer, UCSB, Office of Campus Planning & Design
Jack Wolaver, UCSB, Design & Construction Services
Gene Horstín, UCSB, Design & Construction Services
Marc Fisher, UCSB, Office of the Vice Chancellor, Administrative Services
Croft Yjader, UCSB, Design and Construction Services