August 4, 2016

Denise Venegas
California Coastal Commission
89 South California Street, Suite 200
Ventura, California 93001

Re: Aquatics Trailer 382 Demolition Project – Notice of Impending Development

Dear Ms. Venegas:

The Notice of Impending Development (NOID) for Building 382/Aquatics Trailer Demolition Project is attached including stamped, addressed envelopes for public noticing. A pdf of the NOID document is available online at http://facilities.ucsb.edu/files/docs/NOID_382_Demo.pdf.

If you have any questions or comments regarding this submittal please do not hesitate to telephone me at (805) 893-5216 or send e-mail to steve.conner@planning.ucsb.edu.

Sincerely,

Steve Conner
Senior Planner

Attachment/Enclosure: As stated.

cc:
Alissa Hummer, Campus Planning and Design
Marc Fisher, Vice Chancellor Administrative Services
Gene Horst, Design and Construction Services, Asbestos and Lead Coordinator
Ray Aronson, Design and Construction Services
Chris Kelsey, Physical Facilities
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UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SANTA BARBARA
BUILDING 382/AQUATICS TRAILER DEMOLITION PROJECT
NOTICE OF IMPENDING DEVELOPMENT

I. INTRODUCTION

The University of California, Santa Barbara (UCSB) has prepared this Notice of Impending Development for the Building 382/Aquatics Trailer Demolition project (see Appendix A. Location Map), a proposal to demolish approximately 924 gross square feet of temporary/manufactured building without foundation.

II. PROJECT DESCRIPTION

A. Project Objective

The University has deemed Building 382 is untenable and that it has reached the end of its useful life. Renovation of these this building would be unadvisable and abatement of the associated asbestos and lead-based paint would add considerable cost.

B. Project Background

Building 382 (a single-story wood frame manufactured structure) was constructed in 1983 and occupied in 1985. The former site was turf and sidewalk (see Appendix B 1983 Site Aerial Photo). It was built for storage and occupied by the Aquatics Program of the Intercollegiate Athletics Department.

C. Project Location and Setting

The proposed project is located east of Parking Lot 29 and south of the Campus Pool on the Main Campus of the University of California, Santa Barbara (UCSB). See Appendix C, Site Map. The proposed project site can be accessed from the eastern terminus of El Colegio Road.

The building is located in a developed area surrounded by the Campus Pool to the north, and ornamental landscaping and hardscape to the south. There is a pedestrian path along the south side of the building. The building site is a level area that lies approximately 50 feet above mean sea level.

The Campus Pool and Old Gymnasium (Building 479) are actively used by the Aquatics Program, the Intercollegiate Athletics Department, and various other community programs. The Associated Students Bike Shop is actively used and located approximately 60 northwest of the site. Counseling and Career Services (Building 599) and the former Women’s Center are located approximately 200 feet south of the site.

The Aquatics trailer is approximately nine feet tall and 20 feet wide by 50 feet long. The trailer is approximately 924 square feet. It is supported on a pier and pad foundation. Asbestos-containing materials and lead-based paint have been identified in the structure.
The 2010 LRDP land use designation for the site is Academic and Support. The total proposed project area is approximately 2,400 square feet (0.05 acre). The proposed project site has been disturbed by previous grading operations for the existing asphalt, concrete and structures.

D. Project Description

The trailer will be dismantled and unwanted materials would be disposed offsite. In accordance with all regulatory requirements, the trailer will be abated of asbestos and lead-based paint before general demolition operations begin. Any other useful materials (e.g. lumber, plumbing, masonry, etc.) would be salvaged for re-use or re-purposing on other University projects. The estimated amount of debris would be 120 cubic yards of unwanted materials in three roll-off bins. The disposal would require approximately two trips to deliver unwanted materials to Tajiguas Landfill and one trip to deliver friable waste to Azusa Landfill. The disposal trips would not require closure of roads or parking lots. There would be no tree or vegetation removal.

Minor leveling will provide a finished level terrain on the site after the building is removed. The exposed soil area will be covered with mulch. All existing site-serving utilities will be capped and abandoned in place. No new landscaping, paving, or uses are planned for the project site at this time.

E. Implementation and Project Schedule

The proposed project demolition is scheduled to commence in the fall of 2016 and take approximately one week to complete. The demolition staging areas will be almost entirely contained within the footprint of the structure onsite and there would be no temporary construction trailer. Construction fencing will be placed around the site during demolition.

III. Procedure

A public notice regarding the submittal of this Notice of Impending Development has been mailed to local governments, community groups, and interested parties pursuant to California Code of Regulation Section 13549-51. The public notice and a list of interested parties is included in Appendix D. Section 30606 of the Coastal Act and Article 14, Section 13547 through Section 13550 of the California Code of Regulations govern the Coastal Commission’s review of subsequent development where there is a certified LRDP. Section 13549(B) requires the Executive Director or their designee to review the Notice of Impending Development within ten days of receipt and determine whether it provides sufficient information to determine if the proposed development is consistent with the certified LRDP. The Notice is deemed filed when all necessary supporting information has been received.

Within thirty days of filing the Notice of Impending Development, the Executive Director shall report to the Commission and make a recommendation regarding the consistency of the proposed development with the certified LRDP. After a public hearing, by a majority of its members present, the Commission shall determine whether the development is consistent with the certified LRDP and whether conditions are required to bring it into conformance with the LRDP. No construction shall commence until after the Commission votes to render the proposed development consistent with the certified LRDP.
A. Environmental Review

The project is categorically exempt under CEQA in accordance with Section 15301, Class 1(l)(3), Existing Facilities. None of the exceptions in Section 15300.2 apply. A Notice Of Exemption was prepared for the proposed project (see NOE in Appendix E).

IV. CONSISTENCY WITH THE UCSB LONG RANGE DEVELOPMENT PLAN

The proposed project is consistent with the Environmental Impact Report (EIR) prepared for the 2010 LRDP (UCSB 2008) and also consistent with the 2010 LRDP land use designation of Academic Uses (UCSB 2015). The demolition would not change the 2010 LRDP land use designation.

A. Public Access

The LRDP implements Coastal Act §30210 through §30214 by requiring that new development not generate traffic that exceeds roadway capacity of existing coastal access routes on Campus and therefore restrict or impede coastal access to or along the coast. The LRDP also requires adequate public access parking be provided for new development.

The project does not propose new development which generates new traffic or parking. Roadway capacities would not be exceeded with the temporary addition of traffic associated with the demolition. Traffic associated with the demolition would not cause long-term restriction or impediment of existing coastal access routes, nor would additional public parking be needed. Temporary construction fencing would be placed around the demolition area. Coastal access would not be affected by the project.

B. Recreation

In accordance with Coastal Act §30220 through §30224, the project would not impact the use of oceanfront land for recreation and would not include any development that would impact water-oriented recreational uses. The Campus beaches would remain open to the public. The proposed project would not limit recreational use of oceanfront land.

C. Marine Environment

Coastal Act §30230 provides for protection and enhancement of marine resources. The project does not propose changes to or the use of marine resources. Coastal Act §30231 provides for the maintenance of biological productivity and water quality through wastewater treatment, control of runoff/surface flow, and protection of riparian buffers/areas. There would be no increase in runoff or riparian alterations associated with the demolition. Coastal Act §30232 requires protection against spillage of hazardous substances. The project will include appropriate control strategies associated
with the demolition. The Asbestos and Pb (Lead) Coordinator at UCSB Design and Construction Services would select the appropriate control strategy for disposal of materials.

D. Land Resources

Coastal Act §30240(a) requires protection of ESHA’s from disruption by adjacent development. The proposed demolition is not adjacent to ESHA. The demolition would not cause any significant disruption of habitat values. The project does not propose new development adjacent to ESHA in accordance with Coastal Act §30240(b). Coastal Act §30244 requires reasonable mitigation measures for development projects which would adversely impact archaeological or paleontological resources. The site has been previously developed, there would be no grading or significant ground disturbance, and demolition proposed by the project would not disturb any previously undisturbed ground. In the unlikely event archeological resources are discovered, all applicable LRDP policies would be followed. The proposed demolition of Building 382 was considered in the 2008 LRDP EIR.

E. New Development

The LRDP contains land use designations, development guidelines, and policies to ensure the location of new development will not adversely affect coastal resources, as specified in § 30250 and 30251. The project would remove approximately 924 gross square feet (GSF) of Academic and Support (A&S). The 2010 LRDP allows for the potential new development of 3,600,000 GSF. Table 1 lists the 2010 LRDP campus baseline total of GSF under A&S as of December 11, 2014, and a running total account of GSF currently built-out in relation to the baseline.

---

1 Horstin, G., personal communication, March 25, 2015.
2 Excludes off-campus buildings and buildings on UC Natural Reserve properties. Includes projects under construction: Davidson Library Addition (62,123 GSF), Bioengineering (89,060 GSF), and Faculty Club (29,750 GSF).
3 The gross square feet calculation includes all interior space, walls, and support columns plus 50 percent of covered and unenclosed spaces.
Table 1. Running Account of Gross Square Feet.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>GSF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Existing A&amp;S Baseline as of December 11, 2014</td>
<td>5,958,298</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women's Softball Trailer</td>
<td>720</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRL Infill</td>
<td>1,325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building 411 and 429 Demo</td>
<td>-7,855</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed Modular Building (Psych.)</td>
<td>1,440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building 382 Demo</td>
<td>924</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed Total</td>
<td>5,954,852</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Development Cap</td>
<td>9,558,298</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed remaining GSF</td>
<td>3,605,294</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In accordance with Coastal Act § 30253(c), the project will be consistent with requirements imposed by the Santa Barbara County Air Pollution Control District.

F. Consistency with 2010 LRDP Policies

Table 2 provides an analysis of the project's consistency with the policies of the 2010 LRDP. The following Policies were not relevant to this project: LU-02, LU-2.1, LU-03, LU-06, LU-08 through LU-16, LU-18 through LU-35, SCEN-04 through SCEN-11, GEO-01 through GEO-12, PS-02 through PS-04, PA-01 through, PA-13, TRANS-01 through TRANS-03, TRANS-07 through TRANS-09, TRANS-11 through TRANS-27, REC-01 through REC-05, OS-01 through OS-10, ESH-01 through ESH-05, ESH-08, ESH-10, ESH-12, ESH-13, ESH-16 through ESH-20, ESH-22 through ESH-26, ESH-29 through ESH-51, MAR-02 through MAR-10, WQ-13 through WQ-17, HAZ-6 through HAZ-7, FIL-1 through FIL-3, and SH-1 through SH-7.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2010 LRDP Policy</th>
<th>Consistency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>INTRODUCTORY POLICIES</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Policy INTRO-1</strong> - The policies of the Coastal Act (PRC Sections 30210 through 30263) are adopted herein as policies with full force and effect as part of the certified Long Range Development Plan.</td>
<td>Consistent.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Policy INTRO-2</strong> - If conflicts occur between requirements of the LRDP, the policies most protective of coastal resources shall control. Protection of environmentally sensitive habitat areas (ESHA) and public access shall take priority over other development standards and where there is any conflict between general development standards and ESHA and/or public access protection, the standards that are most protective of ESHA and public access shall have precedence.</td>
<td>Consistent. There are no conflicts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Policy INTRO-3</strong> - If there is a conflict between a provision of the LRDP and any other Campus Plan or Program that is not certified as part of the LRDP, and it is not possible for the development to comply with both the LRDP and such other plan, the LRDP shall take precedence and the development shall not be approved unless it complies with the LRDP provisions.</td>
<td>Consistent. There are no conflicts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Policy INTRO-4</strong> - Where the LRDP references applicable provisions of State law (e.g., the California Government Code or Public Resources Code) the reference shall be construed to be the applicable State law provisions effective on the date of the 2014 LRDP certification. Where provisions of the State law are amended in such a way that they are inconsistent with the LRDP, such changes require an LRDP amendment.</td>
<td>Not Applicable. No changes in regulations or the LRDP have occurred.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Policy INTRO-5</strong> - MOUs, or other agreements with other entities, shall not replace or supersede any policy or provision of</td>
<td>Consistent.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
the certified LRDP, and may require future LRDP amendments to secure implementation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LAND USE – COASTAL ACT SECTION 30250</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>GENERAL POLICIES</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Policy LU-01** - A maximum of 3.6 million gross square feet (GSF) of additional academic and support uses may be developed on the UCSB campus where designated on Figure D.3, Potential Development Areas, and provided that it is consistent with all other policies and provisions of the LRDP. The University shall maintain a running account of the changes to Academic and Support (A&S) development on campus. The A&S build-out documentation shall summarize the total A&S build-out in gross square feet and account for new A&S structural area, additions to existing A&S structures, demolition of existing A&S structural area, and any other changes that affect the GSF of A&S development. The A&S build-out documentation shall include a running annual total and shall provide the current build-out in relation to the Academic and Support “baseline.” The baseline shall be the total build-out of A&S campus-wide as of the date of certification of the 2010 LRDP. The A&S build-out documentation shall be submitted with each NOID or Exemption Request that adds or removes A&S build-out.

Any new structures on lands designated as Recreation or Open Space shall also count toward the A&S development cap. Solar energy systems, such as solar panels, on rooftops shall not be counted toward the A&S development cap.

**Policy LU-04** – The individual development site build-out parameters as identified in the policies (including LU-02 and LU-03) and provisions of this LRDP represent the maximum build-out potential. Prior to site design, the University shall confirm the environmental conditions through updated environmental resource surveys, including biological resources (e.g., wetlands, ESHAs, Monarch Butterflies, etc.) completed within 1 year prior to submitting the Notice of Impending Development; traffic, parking and coastal access constraints

**Consistent.** Project is within Main Campus Potential Development Area. The proposed removal of 924 gross square feet has been accounted for in the running total gross square feet of A&S build-out in relation to the "baseline". Building 382 has been accounted for in the baseline.

**Consistent.** Resource constraints would not need updating. There are no ESHA or sensitive biological resources. The project would not affect parking in the long-term; the proposed short-term use of a few stalls would be temporary. The removal of the pier-on-pad foundation would require minor grading on a site that has been highly disturbed and does not contain a known archeological site. Turf and sidewalk existed previous to the trailer occupation of the site in 1983.
analyses; and archaeological resource evaluations, as applicable, to establish up-to-date resource constraints for preparation of the Notice of Impending Development. The updated constraints may further limit the development footprint and/or the maximum build-out potential or design parameters to ensure consistency with the LRDP.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Policy LU-05 - Development shall be planned to fit the topography, soils, geology, hydrology, and other conditions existing on the site so that grading is kept to a minimum. Campus development shall protect, and where feasible restore, natural hydrologic features such as natural stream corridors, groundwater recharge areas, floodplains, vernal pools, and wetlands.</th>
<th>Consistent. The site is level and no hydrologic features are present on site. Best Management Practices will be followed during and after the removal of Building 382 foundation. The site was level prior to the trailer occupation in 1983.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Policy LU-06 - New campus development shall be located within, contiguous with, or in close proximity to existing developed areas able to accommodate it and where it will not have significant adverse effects, either individually or cumulatively, on coastal resources.</td>
<td>Consistent. The site was developed as turf and sidewalk prior to trailer occupation in 1983.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy LU-07 - Trailers, storage units, and temporary manufactured structures shall be located or relocated pursuant to a Commission-approved NOID. Where the structure serves an A&amp;S function, it shall be accounted for under the A&amp;S development cap as described in Policy LU-01.</td>
<td>Consistent. A trailer will be removed and there are no plans to relocate or replace. The removed square footage is accounted for in the A&amp;S development cap. The project seeks an after-the-fact approval for Building 382. Building 382 has already been included in the 2014 baseline total.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy LU-17 - Development within the Main Campus Academic and Support site shall be located within the approximately 143-acre potential development envelope(s) designated as Academic and Support on Figure D.3 and shall be consistent with the following build-out provisions: a. Within the 85 foot height area as shown on Figure D.4, a maximum of 810,000 GSF of net new building area may be constructed. Within the 65-foot height area, a maximum of 1.75 million GSF maybe be constructed. New academic and support build-out on this site shall be counted toward the 3.6 million GSF campus-wide Academic and Support development cap consistent with Policy LU-01. b. Development that removes, relocates, or otherwise modifies a parking lot containing designated coastal access parking spaces requires further review as an LRDP amendment as outlined in Policy TRANS-14.</td>
<td>Consistent. The demolition will remove 924 GSF from the Main Campus A&amp;S site development envelope, as accounted for in Table 1. Running Account of Gross Square Feet. (a.) The remaining available GSF within the 85 foot height area shown on Figure D.4 would be 810,000 – 720 - 1,325 + 7,855 - 1,440 + 924 = 814,294. Building 382 was already accounted for in the 2014 baseline total. (b.) The project does not propose to remove or modify designated coastal access parking.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### SCENIC AND VISUAL RESOURCES – 30251

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>GENERAL POLICIES</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Policy SCEN-01</strong> - New structures on the campus shall be in general conformance with the scale and character of surrounding development. Clustered developments and innovative designs are encouraged.</td>
<td><strong>Consistent.</strong> The proposed single-story replacement building would be of similar scale and character to nearby building Building 387 (proposed to be remodeled, as a part of the project). <em>The construction of Building 387 included landscaping and entrance ramp/decking/trellis to soften the visual of a modular building.</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Policy SCEN-03</strong> – New development shall be sited and designed to minimize adverse impacts to the greatest extent feasible on scenic resources, including places on, along, within, or visible from public viewing areas such as public parklands, public trails, beaches, and state waters that offer scenic vistas of mountains, coastline, beaches, and other unique natural features, as identified as view points, scenic routes, and trails on Figure F.4. The University shall seek to enhance primary and secondary view corridors where feasible to the ocean and scenic coastal areas shown in Figure F.4 such as by the removal of temporary buildings.</td>
<td><strong>Consistent.</strong> The site is not within primary view corridor. The trailer removal would not interfere with the nearby secondary view corridor on Figure F.4.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>SAFETY, STABILITY, POLLUTION, ENERGY CONSERVATION, VISITORS - 30253 through .13</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>SUSTAINABILITY AND RECYCLING</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Policy SUST-01</strong> - The University shall reduce transportation emissions associated with fleet vehicles by implementing the following measures: replacing vehicles with low or zero emission vehicles; right-sizing fleets (determining the appropriate fleet size, revising business practices to reduce need for travel); reducing fleet fuel consumption; reducing fleet vehicle miles traveled; and increasing use of fuels with lower GHG emissions. The University shall purchase the most efficient fleet vehicles with the goal of 95% of the campus light-duty fleet purchases using alternative fueled vehicles (AFV’s) (Bio-diesel, Electricity, Ethanol, Hydrogen and Natural Gas as per DOE&amp; CEC’s supported fuels) by 2016.</td>
<td><strong>Consistent.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Policy SUST-02</strong> - The University shall reduce greenhouse gas emissions and the use of non-renewable resources by complying with the campus-wide sustainability programs.</td>
<td><strong>Consistent.</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A. All Notice of Impending Development submittals shall be supported by an evaluation of the project’s consistency with the campus-wide sustainability programs, including but not limited to measures pertaining to:
- Green Building;
- Clean Energy;
- Transportation;
- Climate Protection;
- Sustainable Operations;
- Waste Reduction and Recycling;
- Environmentally Preferable Purchasing;
- Sustainable Foodservice; and
- Water Conservation.

**Policy SUST-03** - The University shall promote the use of vehicles with alternative fuel sources on campus by such means as: locating infrastructure to support alternative vehicles (e.g., electrical vehicle charging stations), or providing incentives such as first-floor parking spaces and discounts on long-term parking passes. Electrical vehicle charging stations shall be provided in the necessary numbers and conveniently located in campus housing developments as well as in the parking facilities on each campus to encourage the use of such vehicles.

**Policy SUST-04** - The campus shall continue to reduce greenhouse gas emissions in accordance with the campus Climate Action Plan and shall continue to inventory and publicly report all greenhouse gas emissions annually in accordance with the protocol set forth by The Climate Registry.

**Policy SUST-05** - The University shall reduce consumption of non-renewable energy by using a portfolio approach that includes a combination of energy efficiency projects, the incorporation of local renewable power measures for existing and new facilities, green power purchases from the electrical grid, and other energy measures with equivalent demonstrable effect on the environment and reduction in fossil fuel usage.

**Policy SUST-06** - The University shall minimize energy use and reduce pollution through such methods as the use of solar power and other renewable energy systems, natural lighting, passive solar heating and cooling and other techniques.
to produce energy efficient development, building management techniques such as smart metering and lighting/appliance management systems that limit waste, and use of light colored buildings and roofing materials.

**Policy SUST-07** - The campus shall continue to monitor energy usage and make available for public review an Annual Energy Report detailing purchased electricity and natural gas consumption, as well as onsite and offsite renewable energy generation.

**PUBLIC SERVICES AND INFRASTRUCTURE - 30253.14 - 16, 30254**

**WATER SUPPLY AND DEMAND**

**Policy PS-01** - In recognition of the need to conserve and manage its water resources to achieve the LRDP land use planning objectives, the University shall implement a water conservation program as follows:

A. Water consumption in existing and new development shall be minimized by using the best available water-conserving plumbing fixtures.

B. Landscaping practices shall minimize potable water use by: planting locally native plant species and/or non-invasive, drought tolerant species; using reclaimed water for landscaping to the maximum extent feasible; designing efficient irrigation systems that use the minimum amount of water necessary for the applicable landscaping; and maintaining and managing irrigation systems to ensure continued water efficiency.

C. The University shall maintain a public awareness campaign on campus and in campus residential facilities for saving water. All dormitory residents shall be required to receive annual training on water conservation.

**Policy PS-05** - The University shall participate in water use reductions during declared water supply shortages within Goleta Water District (GWD) boundaries and/or other affected campus water service areas to the maximum extent feasible. For each formally declared water shortage Stage I-V, the campus will meet with the GWD and establish specific emer-

Consistent. The University will continue to apply water conservation and efficiency measures during the formally declared Stage III drought.
Emergency water conservation benchmarks expressed as a percentage of the University’s regular potable water use based on that assessment, the campus will further reduce potable water consumption to the maximum extent feasible. Once implemented, the pertinent short-term water use reductions shall be maintained to the maximum extent feasible until the GWD reduces or lifts the pertinent water shortage declaration.

| Policy PS-06- | If the long-term water supplies relied on by the University in planning the 2010 LRDP (i.e., the 945 AFY planning threshold) build-out are jeopardized and/or cannot be acquired and delivered from Goleta Water District (GWD), the University shall halt further water-consuming development under the LRDP unless the University secures the equivalent offsets by underwriting measures to conserve existing potable water supplies within the customer base of GWD, or by underwriting new infrastructure construction to deliver reclaimed water to GWD customers presently irrigating with potable water. For example, the University may, in cooperation with GWD, elect to meet a portion of, or all of, a proposed new campus building’s otherwise unmet water requirements by: 1) underwriting the installation of additional reclaimed water infrastructure (such as treatment systems, pipelines and metering systems) to deliver reclaimed water to existing agricultural water users served by Goleta Water District, or 2) through the retrofitting of existing development within the Isla Vista/Goleta Water District service area by such measures as replacing appliances with certified low water and energy use appliances, and installing low flow showerheads and toilet fixtures. At the time of NOID submittal, if the University has selected such an option to ensure adequate potable water supplies for the subject development, the University shall provide to the satisfaction of the Executive Director: a) evidence of the certification by GWD of the equivalent potable water conservation and b) evidence of a binding contract between the University and GWD to permanently secure and redirect the equivalent potable water supply for the University’s benefit. |
| Consistent. The long-term water supplies have not been jeopardized and can be acquired/delivered as of August 18, 2015. |

| Policy PS-07 – A. The University shall annually prepare and submit to the Executive Director a report analyzing campus | Consistent. The inaugural annual report will be prepared after the completion of the year 2015. |
water supply and demand including but not limited to information required in these water supply and demand policies which shall reflect campus-wide demand information tabulated annually, expressed in acre-feet per year, and separated into potable and reclaimed water supply categories. The report shall include an estimate of the potable water necessary to serve the remaining building of the 2010 LRDP. The report shall also include the results of any short-term water use reductions implemented by the University during the previous year in response to water shortages affecting the Goleta Water District, and GWD’s most recent projection of its water supply portfolio for the forthcoming year. The University shall make the report available to the public by posting the report on the University’s website, and shall reference the report available to the public by posting the report on the University’s website, and shall reference the report in any environmental review process for new development.

B. The policies of the 2010 LRDP notwithstanding, if the Executive Director of the Coastal Commission determines that an extraordinary water supply shortage to GWD’s water supply exists based on:

1) the report provided by the University pursuant to Subparagraph A (above); or
2) a declaration, or similar official action, by the Governor, the State Water Resources Control Board, or the Goleta Water District;

Then any NOID submitted to the Commission thereafter shall demonstrate that the development will not result in a net increase of potable water demand over existing use levels at the time the NOID is submitted.

**PUBLIC ACCESS & CIRCULATION – 30210 & 30221**

**CIRCULATION**

**Policy TRANS-04** -To improve traffic flow and thereby reduce auto emissions, the University shall implement Commission-approved improvements to the transportation and parking system, including roadways, parking, bicycle, and pedestrian facilities, necessary to ensure that traffic congestion, Consistent.
auto emissions, and other adverse impacts from the increased traffic associated with a pending development are fully mitigated. Transportation and parking system measures shall be subject to a Notice of Impending Development (NOID). Where such measures are necessary to mitigate the impacts of new development, the University shall submit the improvements with the relevant Notice of Impending Development. The Commission may condition the NOID to ensure that these requirements are met.

**Policy TRANS-05** - The University will work with MTD, SBCAG Traffic Solutions, and Clean Air Express to develop a transit plan to offset the increased demand for public transit that will result from build-out of the LRDP. The University shall provide for subsidies, free passes, additional transit services, transit vehicles, and transit facilities, including community car-loan pools such as Zip-Car, and media costs such as for related motivational outreach to UCSB affiliates, to address future transit overloads that will otherwise result from unmitigated future campus growth.

Consistent.

**Policy TRANS-06** - The University shall provide additional bicycle parking facilities as part of all campus building projects. The University shall periodically survey campus bicyclists (at a minimum before undertaking the environmental review of significant projects) to determine the kinds and locations of bicycle facilities and other bicycle support features (such as bus access for bicyclists, securable bicycle lockers, etc.) that are most needed. The University shall incorporate the requested features in new campus development projects to the maximum extent feasible. The University shall additionally provide bicycle parking facilities near public coastal accessways and trails, where appropriate, to support public access opportunities while ensuring adequate protection of sensitive resources. The bicycle features shall be indicated on the campus visitor’s map upon construction. The University shall identify the requisite bicycle parking facilities as part of the Notice of Impending Development submittal for all significant new campus development proposals.

Consistent. The existing on-site bicycle will be preserved or reconfigured to accommodate its existing capacity.

**Policy TRANS-10** - The University shall contribute funds toward intersection and transportation improvements in the City of Goleta and County of Santa Barbara proportionate to the University’s impacts to the intersection and/or roadway.

Consistent.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LAND RESOURCES/ESH - 30240 (a) &amp; (b)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Policy ESH-06</strong> – Operational noise levels shall not exceed state standards. The following operational noise sources are not subject to the maximum sound levels: (a) Noise of safety signals, warning devices and emergency pressure relief valves; and (b) Noise from moving sources such as tractors, automobiles, trucks, airplanes, etc. For all special events where the proposed event or activity is expected to generate significant noise in close proximity to sensitive receptor locations, the campus shall impose limitations on the hours of the event or activity.</td>
<td><strong>Consistent.</strong> Noise associated with demolition would be from moving sources.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Policy ESH-07</strong> – Construction noise levels shall not exceed state standards of 65dB(A) at property lines except at Coal Oil Point Reserve where the maximum allowable construction sound levels shall be more restrictive and shall not exceed 60 decibels on the A-weighted scale.</td>
<td><strong>Consistent.</strong> Project is not located at property lines.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Policy ESH-09</strong> – Fencing and other types of barrier installations on campus shall be wildlife-safe and wildlife-permeable, except where such barriers are necessary to restrict unauthorized human entry, the restricted area has no habitat value, and the placement of the barrier does not have an adverse impact on wildlife. Development in or adjacent to environmentally sensitive habitat areas or open space shall be designed and constructed to ensure the safe movement by wildlife (such as through the clustering structures and the installation of bridged crossings of wetlands to replace culverts, etc.).</td>
<td><strong>Consistent.</strong> Construction fencing would be necessary to restrict unauthorized human entry into the site during demolition and construction. The site is highly urbanized, has no habitat value, and the placement of the barrier will not have an adverse impact on wildlife. The project would not be in or adjacent to ESHA or open space.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Policy ESH-11</strong> – The use of any noxious and/or invasive plant species listed as problematic, a ‘noxious weed’ and/or invasive by the California Native Plant Society, the California Exotic Pest Plant Council, the State of California or the U.S. Federal Government shall be prohibited in all campus landscaping.</td>
<td><strong>Consistent.</strong> No landscaping is proposed. Exposed soil on site will be mulched after building is removed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Policy ESH-14</strong> – Topsoil that is excavated, stored, or moved as part of an approved development shall be managed to preserve the viability of the mycorrhizae by being stockpiled</td>
<td><strong>Consistent.</strong> No topsoil will be excavated while removing the building.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
no higher than 3 feet to protect the viability of the mycorrhizae. To the extent feasible, topsoil should be reused on site or for restoration.

**Policy ESH-15** – The University shall replace and/or retrofit all outdoor lighting within ten (10) years following the date of effective certification of the 2010 LRDP to minimize the campus lighting footprint/envelope consistent with the following:

**A.** The University shall prepare a campus-wide Baseline Outdoor Lighting Assessment that:

1. Provides an inventory, map, and detailed description of existing outdoor lighting;
2. Identifies stand-alone (pole-mounted, bollards, etc.) Light fixtures that do not comply with the design and efficiency standards set forth in Subparagraph C below; and
3. Describes the lighting specifications used to measure compliance with the design and efficiency standards set forth in Subparagraph C below.

**B.** The University shall prepare and submit an Outdoor Lighting Replacement and Retrofit Program as an LRDP Amendment for Commission approval within 18 months after the updated LRDP is certified. The Program shall:

1. Include the Baseline Assessment developed pursuant to Subparagraph A above;
2. Provide a replacement/retrofit map that identifies the location of all non-compliant outdoor lights and describes whether each light shall be replaced or retrofitted;
3. Identify a suite of target technologies and lighting specifications to meet the requirements of Subparagraph C below.
4. Prioritize the replacement and/or retrofit of the identified lights with the highest priority assigned to the non-compliant outdoor sports and recreation facility lighting and the second highest priority assigned to non-compliant outdoor lights of any kind in closest in proximity to ESHA, wetlands, or open space; when replacement/retrofit is implemented in conjunction with a NOID for a new development, the highest priority may, alternately, be assigned to the nearest non-compliant lighting proximate to the proposed development;
5. Identify a proposed schedule to incrementally implement the replacement/retrofit in the order prioritized as part of each campus construction project to ensure full replacement/retrofit within ten years of the certification of the 2010 LRDP; this

Consistent. One non-compliant pole-mounted light exists on the south side of the trailer site. The fixture will be replaced in Phase 1 of the Outdoor Lighting Replacement and Retrofit Program between the years 2017-2020.
shall include measurable goals to be implemented with each NOID; and
6. Be implemented as part of each campus development that includes an outdoor lighting component; additionally, the Program may be implemented through a series of separate projects as necessary to achieve full Program implementation in the given time-frame.
C. All outdoor lighting shall be designed to avoid, or minimize to the maximum extent feasible, all forms of light pollution, including light trespass, glare, and sky glow, and shall at a minimum incorporate the following:
1. Best available visor technology to minimize light spill and direct/focalize lighting downward, toward the targeted area(s) only;
2. The minimum standard (pole) height and height of the light mounting necessary to achieve the identified lighting design objective;
3. The best available technology and a lighting spectrum designed to minimize lighting impacts on sensitive species and habitat; and
4. Measures to minimize light trespass onto ESHA and open space areas.
D. As part of the routine maintenance and replacement of outdoor light fixtures and bulbs, including repair and maintenance of fixtures attached to buildings, the University shall use new materials that meet or exceed the standards set forth in Subparagraph C.
E. New or retrofitted lighting of outdoor sports facilities shall be limited to the Recreation-designated lands at Harder Stadium, the two approved tennis courts on Storke Campus, and within the Main Campus recreational complex as it exists as of the date of certification of the 2010 LRDP within the area delineated on the “Limits of Outdoor Sports Lighting Map” in Appendix 4. New outdoor lighting for sports purposes outside of the limits shown on the “Limits of Outdoor Sports Lighting Map” shall be prohibited. Existing night lighting of sports facilities elsewhere on campus shall be considered a non-conforming use/structure. New or retrofitted sports lighting shall require a Commission-approved Notice of Impending Development, which shall not be processed until the Commission
certifies the Outdoor Lighting Replacement and Retrofit Program required pursuant to Subparagraph B above, and shall meet the standards set forth in Subparagraph C above and the following additional requirements:

1. Shall not exceed the minimum level of power and brightness necessary for the proposed level of collegiate or intramural use; and

2. Shall mitigate the impact of new lighting by retrofitting or removing existing sports lighting and other outdoor lighting sources consistent with the identified priorities in Subparagraph B above.

F. Development with an outdoor lighting component shall comply with the standards set forth in Subparagraph C of this policy. In addition, the NOID for each development with an outdoor lighting component shall implement a portion of the Outdoor Lighting Replacement and Retrofit Program consistent with the provisions of Subparagraph B above. Prior to the approval of the Outdoor Lighting Replacement and Retrofit Program, each NOID with an outdoor lighting component shall include outdoor lighting retro fits/replacements in the nearest feasible location(s) to the proposed development. The NOID shall include a lighting plan and lighting specifications that identify the location of lights, the light fixture type, the light spectrum/bulb, the direction of light, and any special measures or treatments to control light spill for all on-site and off-site replaced/retrofitted outdoor lighting. The replacement schedule/map shall be updated and submitted in support of each NOID to track the progress of the Program implementation.

G. The University shall submit to the Executive Director of the Commission an annual report tracking the incremental progress of the Outdoor Lighting Replacement and Retrofit Program. The report shall indicate the location, type, and specifications for outdoor lighting replacements and retrofits that occurred in the previous year and priority areas for the subsequent year.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>WETLANDS, ESHAS &amp; TREES</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Policy ESH-21</strong> – Biological resources surveys shall be performed for all new development that is proposed where there is a potential for sensitive species, ESHA, or wetlands to be present; within or adjacent to ESHA (where the proposed development is within 200 feet of ESHA); within or adjacent (within 200 feet) to wetlands; within or adjacent (within 200 feet) to designated Open Space or other natural open space areas; or within 500 feet of trees suitable for nesting or roosting or significant foraging habitat is present. The results shall be presented in a biological report that shall include an analysis of the potential impacts of the proposed development on any identified habitat or species and recommendations for siting and design of the development to ensure protection of sensitive biological resources and habitat values. Where established public agency “protocols” exist for the survey of a particular species or habitat, the preparing biologist shall undertake the survey and subsequent analysis in accordance with the requirements of the protocol and shall be trained and credentialed by the pertinent agency to undertake the subject protocol survey when such training and credentialing is available.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Policy ESH-27</strong> – Raptor habitat, including nesting trees, roosting trees, perching locations, and foraging habitat, shall be protected and preserved.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Policy ESH-28** –  
A. The routine trimming and/or removal of trees on campus necessary to maintain campus landscaping or to address potential public safety concerns shall be exempt from the requirement to obtain a Notice of Impending Development (NOID), unless otherwise required pursuant to subparagraph B, below, and provided that the trimming and/or removal activities are carried out consistent with all provisions and protocols of the certified Campus Tree Trimming and Removal Program in Appendix 2, except that the following shall require a NOID:  
1. Trimming and/or removal of trees located within ESHA or on lands designated Open Space as covered in Policy ESH-29,  
2. The removal of any tree associated with new development, re-development, or renovation shall be evaluated separately | **Consistent.** The project does not propose to remove trees or vegetation. |
through the NOID process as detailed in subparagraph C, below;
3. The removal of tree windrows, and
4. Trimming and/or removal of egret, heron, or cormorant roosting trees proximate to the Lagoon.
B. All tree trimming and tree removal activities, including trimming or removal that is exempt from the requirement to obtain a Notice of Impending Development, shall be prohibited during the breeding and nesting season (February 15 to September 1) unless the University, in consultation with a qualified arborist, determines that:
1. Immediate tree trimming or tree removal action by the University is required to protect life and property of the University from imminent danger, authorization is required where such activity would occur in ESHA or Open Space through an emergency permit,
2. Trimming or removal of trees located outside of ESHA or Open Space areas during June 15 to September 1, provided where a qualified biologist has found that there are no active raptor nests or colonial birds roosts within 500 feet of the trees to be trimmed or removed, or
3. Is part of a development or redevelopment approved pursuant to a Notice of Impending Development.
C. To preserve roosting habitat for bird species and monarch butterflies, tree(s) associated with new development, re-development, or renovation that are either native or have the potential to provide habitat for raptors or other sensitive species shall be preserved and protected to the greatest extent feasible. Where native, or otherwise biologically significant, trees are retained, new development shall be sited a minimum of five feet from the outer edge of that tree’s canopy drip-line. The removal of such trees shall be evaluated pursuant to the Notice of Impending Development for the new development. Prior to the removal of any native and/or sensitive tree for development purposes, the University shall conduct biological studies to show whether the tree(s) provide nesting, roosting, or foraging habitat for raptors and sensitive bird species, aggregation or significant foraging sites for monarch butterflies, or habitat for other sensitive biological resources. The Commission may condition the subject Notice of Impending Development to secure the seasonal timing restrictions and
mitigation requirements otherwise set forth in the Campus Tree Trimming and Removal Program in Appendix 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ARCHEOLOGICAL RESOURCES – 30244</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Policy ARC-01</strong> - New development that requires ground disturbance shall be evaluated for its potential to impact archaeological resources. Site research, records reviews and archaeological surveys shall be undertaken by a Registered Professional. This documentation shall be submitted with the Notice of Impending Development.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Policy ARC-02</strong> - The Department of Anthropology and Native American tribal groups approved by the Native American Heritage Commission for the area shall be consulted when development may adversely impact archeological resources.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Policy ARC-03</strong> - A mitigation plan shall be prepared by a Registered Professional Archaeologist when development may adversely impact archaeological resources. The mitigation plan shall be prepared in consultation with Native American tribal groups approved by the Native American Heritage Commission for the area, and the State Historic Preservation Officer, as applicable. Mitigation shall be designed in accordance with guidelines of the State Office of Historic Preservation and the State of California Native American Heritage Commission and shall, as the first priority, preserve the resources in place. Where in-situ preservation is not feasible, partial or total recovery of archaeological resources shall be undertaken.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Policy ARC-04</strong> - Archaeological monitors shall be on-site during all earth moving activities and/or other ground disturbances that have the potential to uncover or otherwise disturb archaeological resources. A Registered Professional Archaeological consultant and a Native American representative shall both be present.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Policy ARC-05</strong> - If archaeological or paleontological resources are discovered in the course of construction, all activity which could damage or destroy these resources shall be immediately halted. A Registered Professional Archaeologist, or paleontologist as applicable, shall examine the site and provide an evaluation of the nature and significance of the re-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Mitigation measures shall be developed and implemented to address the impacts of the development on the resources. The Office of Campus Planning and Design shall determine whether the development or mitigation measures require a new Notice of Impending Development and shall notify Coastal Commission staff that archaeological or paleontological resources were discovered during construction. Activities that may adversely impact these resources shall not resume without written authorization from the University Office of Planning & Design that construction may proceed.

**Policy ARC-06** - Vehicle use, unauthorized collecting of artifacts, or other activities that have the potential to destroy or disturb archaeological resources shall be prohibited.  
**Consistent.** Access to the site will be restricted to project personnel. No unauthorized collection or other detrimental activities will be allowed.

**Policy ARC-07** - Work shall be halted immediately when suspected human bone is discovered, regardless of context, until the coroner and a qualified archaeologist can examine the remains. University staff shall notify Coastal Commission staff of the nature of the discovery and that all work has been halted on the site. Activities shall not resume without written authorization from the Office of Campus Planning and Design that construction may proceed. Where Native American remains are discovered, further activities may require a Notice of Impending Development.  
**Consistent.** No known archaeological sites exist on the site. Therefore, the potential for impact to archaeological resources is low. In the unlikely event that suspected human bone is discovered during excavation of Building 382 foundation, activity will be halted immediately, a registered professional will examine the remains and all activities will be halted.

**Policy ARC-08** - New development shall be sited and designed to avoid adverse impacts to archaeological and paleontological resources to the maximum extent feasible. If there is no feasible alternative that eliminates all impacts to these resources, then the alternative that would result in the fewest or least significant impacts to resources shall be selected. Impacts to archaeological or paleontological resources that cannot be avoided through siting and design alternatives shall be fully mitigated.  
**Consistent.** No new development is proposed.

**MARINE ENVIRONMENT 30230, -31 and 36**

**GENERAL POLICIES**

**Policy MAR-01** - The University shall coordinate with and encourage action by the County of Santa Barbara, City of Santa Barbara, City of Goleta, and the Regional Water Quality Control Board to see that adjacent land uses are developed and operated in a manner that will sustain the biological productivity of campus marine resources.  
**Consistent.**
## Water Quality (Erosion and Sedimentation)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Policy WQ-01</th>
<th>Consistent. No new development is proposed. Best Management Practices will be used during demolition and construction.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>New development shall be sited, designed, and managed to prevent adverse impacts from stormwater or dry weather runoff to coastal waters and environmentally sensitive habitat areas. Sources of inflow to coastal wetlands shall be maintained so that the quality, volume and duration of flows do not diminish wetland hydrology.</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Policy WQ-02</strong> –</td>
<td><strong>Consistent. No new development is proposed. Best Management Practices will be used during demolition and construction.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Proposed campus development shall be sited, designed, constructed, operated and managed in accordance with the water quality protection requirements set forth in this LRDP, including Appendix 3, Water Quality Protection, which is hereby incorporated in full, by reference as part of this policy. Appendix 3 requires new development, which entails construction or other activities or land uses that have the potential to release pollutants into coastal waters, to submit a water quality protection plan (see Appendix 3 for Construction Pollution Prevention Plan, Post Development Runoff Plan, Water Quality and Hydrology Plan, as applicable) with the NOID. Appendix 3 provides implementation-level requirements to develop each type of water quality protection plan that may be necessary depending on the size and nature of the proposed development. Unless the Executive Director determines that future proposed changes to the contents of Appendix 3 are de minimis, such changes shall require an LRDP amendment. All revisions of Appendix 3 shall be timely published, including the date of the specific revision.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Development shall be sited and designed consistent with the following runoff control priorities, and implemented through the water quality protection plans in compliance with Appendix 3 (Water Quality Protection Program):</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. First, where drainage from campus lands may directly or indirectly flow into coastal waters, the first priority for the plans and designs of proposed campus development shall be the prevention of an increase in post-construction stormwater runoff volume or velocity compared with existing site conditions.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Second, where despite the inclusion of all feasible measures to achieve the first priority an increase in site runoff cannot be fully avoided, the project plans and designs shall include all feasible additional drainage management</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
measures necessary to slow, capture, treat, infiltrate, and de-
tain stormwater runoff on site to the maximum extent feasible, and in the manner that best protects coastal resources, in-
cluding wetlands, environmentally sensitive habitat areas, and coastal waters.

3. Third, where despite the inclusion of all feasible measures to avoid offsite discharge of stormwater and dry weather run-
off, the interconnected nature of existing and future campus development locations or site-specific physical conditions (such as the presence of relatively impervious clay soils) limit the effectiveness of on-site retention options, the University may allow runoff to be discharge, including as necessary piping of runoff under roadways or sidewalks, to a permitted offsite drainage management facility where the runoff is treated to remove pollutants and is retained and/or dis-
charged in a non-erosive manner.

C. To maximize the protection of water quality, the University shall prioritize the use of earthen-based, bioengineered runoff treatment facilities such as bioswales or vegetated filter strips. Bioengineered runoff treatment facilities may incorpo-
rate energy dissipaters, sand filters, retention basins and en-
gineered soils and substrates if warranted by site conditions. Drainage features may include vegetation as an intentional component of the design (such as swales planted with grass species) or in some cases a non-vegetated structure may support volunteer vegetation. In either case, regular manage-
ment of the vegetation associated with the subject drainage feature, and/or of the feature itself (such as sediment re-
moval), is necessary (1) to ensure the optimal performance of the structure, and (2) to limit the establishment or overgrowth of vegetation. Therefore, the University shall submit a de-
tailed monitoring and low impact, non-chemical maintenance plan (relying on mowing, hand weeding, or confined short-
term grazing) designed to prevent the overgrowth of vegetation in drainage management structures, and for periodic maintenance activities in addition to vegetation management, such as sediment removal and disposal. This maintenance plan shall include a schedule for proposed maintenance and a monitoring program to ensure that the required mainte-
nance achieves the prescribed standard of vegetation control.
D. Where the University demonstrates that a permitted drainage facility that was created from dry land has been diligently managed and monitored in accordance with the requirements of the pertinent permit, the facility will not be considered a "wetland" for the purpose of interpreting the LRDP when future maintenance, modification, or removal of the structure is proposed. As such, the Commission will not require compensatory mitigation for acreage affected by the proposed activity. However, measures will be required to limit or avoid impacts to coastal resources when such activities are proposed (such as setbacks from nearby habitat, seasonal restrictions on timing of work, relocation of sensitive species, etc.).

E. Site plans and designs for new development shall include source control measures which can be structural features or operational actions, to control pollutant sources, minimize runoff, and keep pollutants segregated from stormwater. Site plans and designs for new development shall concurrently emphasize runoff management, integrating existing site characteristics that affect runoff (such as topography, drainage, vegetation, soil conditions, and infiltration properties) with strategies that minimize post-project runoff, control pollutant sources, and where necessary remove pollutants. Site plans and designs shall be in compliance with the water quality protection plans required in Appendix 3, Water Quality Protection Program. The plans and designs for all drainage facilities proposed by the University on lands that may directly or indirectly drain to coastal waters shall be designed by a California-licensed professional in consultation with a qualified biologist, and shall include detailed information that supports the finding that the proposed development is sited, designed, constructed, operated, and maintained in the manner most protective of coastal resources including wetlands, environmentally sensitive habitat, and coastal waters. Sufficient evidence to demonstrate compliance of the proposed project with the requirements of Policy WQ-02 shall be submitted in support of the Notice of Impending Development and the NOID may be conditioned by the Commission to ensure that these requirements are met.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Policy WQ-03</strong> - Stormwater and dry weather runoff management shall be addressed early in site design planning and alternatives analyses, taking into account existing site characteristics that affect runoff, (such as topography, drainage, vegetation, soil conditions, natural hydrologic features, and infiltration conditions) in designing strategies that minimize post-development changes in the runoff flow regime, control pollutant sources, and, where necessary, remove pollutants. The University shall within a reasonable amount of time, develop a comprehensive surface water quality monitoring program for all discharges from campus. Properties and/or discharges with the highest levels of water pollution will be evaluated and water quality problems addressed, beginning with discharge deemed unhealthful or unsafe for human contact.</th>
<th><strong>Consistent.</strong> No new development is proposed. Best Management Practices will be used during demolition.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Policy WQ-05</strong> - The University shall site, design, construct and manage development to preserve or enhance vegetation that provides water quality benefits such as transpiration, vegetative interception, pollutant uptake, shading of waterways, and erosion control. Native vegetation shall be prioritized for use in water-quality treatment facilities such as bioswales and vegetated filter strips. Removal of existing vegetation on campus shall be minimized and limited to a pre-approved area required for construction operations. The construction area shall be fenced to define project boundaries. When vegetation must be removed, the method shall be one that will minimize the erosive effects from the removal. Temporary mulching or other suitable interim stabilization measures shall be used to protect exposed areas during construction or other land disturbance activities.</td>
<td><strong>Consistent.</strong> No new development is proposed. No vegetation will be disturbed. Temporary construction fencing will be used to define the project boundaries. Mulching will be spread on the vacated area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Policy WQ-06</strong> - The University shall design, construct and manage campus development to minimize the introduction of pollutants, including trash and sediment, into coastal waters. Pollutants shall not be allowed to enter coastal waters through drainage systems. Low Impact Development (LID) strategies shall be used to emphasize an integrated system of decentralized, small-scale control measures that minimize alteration of the site’s natural hydrologic conditions through infiltration, evapotranspiration, filtration, detention, and retention of runoff close to its source. Traps and filters for roadway contaminants shall be provided as part of all drainage structures.</td>
<td><strong>Consistent.</strong> Best management practices will be used.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Policy WQ-07</strong></td>
<td>New development shall be designed to minimize the extent of new impervious surface area, especially directly-connected impervious surfaces, and where feasible to increase the area of pervious surfaces, to reduce runoff.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Policy WQ-08</strong></td>
<td>If implementing site design, source control, and LID strategies is not sufficient to minimize adverse post-development changes in runoff volume, flow rate, timing, and duration, use runoff controls sized for the appropriate design storm, to protect coastal waters, habitat, and property.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Policy WQ-09** | Minimize water quality impacts from construction by implementing best management practices, in compliance with Appendix 3, Water Quality Protection Program, including:  
A. Construction shall be planned and managed to minimize impacts by such measures as limiting the project footprint, phasing grading activities to avoid rainy-season soil disturbance, implementing soil stabilization and pollution prevention measures, and preventing soil compaction unless required for structural support;  
B. Whenever practical, land on the North and West Campus where there is a risk of erosion that may affect ESHAs, plan the project in increments of workable size which can be completed during a single construction season;  
C. Erosion and sediment control measures are to be coordinated with the sequence of grading. Sediment basins, sediment traps, or similar sediment control measures shall be installed before extensive clearing and grading operations begin for campus development; and  
D. Fill areas shall have suitable protection against erosion and shall not encroach on Devereux Slough, Storke Campus Wetlands, Campus Lagoon or any other natural watercourses or constructed channels on campus. | **Consistent.** Best management practices will be used. |
| **Policy WQ-10** | Grading operations that have the potential to deliver sediment to wetlands, environmentally sensitive habitat areas, or coastal waters shall be scheduled during the dry months of the year (May through October). The construction timeline may be extended into the rainy season for a specific, limited length of time, based on an inspection of the site, and a determination that conditions at the project site are suitable for. Continuation of work may be allowed if appropriate erosion and sedimentation control measures are in place and will | **Consistent.** No grading operations are proposed with removal of the foundation. Minor leveling of the site will be followed by mulch cover. |
be maintained during the activity. If grading occurs during the rainy season (November through April), sediment traps, barriers, covers or other methods shall be used to reduce erosion and sedimentation in compliance with Appendix 3, Water Quality Protection Program.

**Policy WQ-11** - Excavated materials shall not be deposited or stored where the material can be washed away by storm water runoff. Topsoil removed from the surface in preparation for grading and construction is to be stored on or near the site, where the stockpile area(s) will not impact natural vegetation, and protected from erosion while grading operations are underway, provided that the topsoil is also managed consistent with Policy ESH-14. Appropriate measures shall be taken to protect the preserved topsoil from erosion and runoff through such measures as tarping, jute netting, silt fencing, and sandbagging soil. After completion of such grading, topsoil is to be restored to exposed cut and fill embankments of building pads so as to provide a suitable base for seeding and planting. These requirements shall be incorporated into applicable water quality protection plans (Construction Pollution Prevention Plan, Post-Development Runoff Plan, and/or Water Quality and Hydrology Plan as applicable) for processing during the NOID process as described in Appendix 3, Water Quality Protection Program.

**Policy WQ-12** - Drainage facilities, BMPs, or other water quality design features required for new development shall be inspected, maintained, operated and managed in a manner that ensures that the intended water quality protection performance requirements are met for the life of the development. This shall be reflected in the applicable water quality protection plan in compliance with Appendix 3, Water Quality Protection Program.

**HAZARDOUS MATERIALS POLICIES**

**Policy HAZ-1** - The University shall comply with hazardous material and hazardous waste laws and regulations, including storage, handling, transport, disposal, and spills.

**Policy HAZ-2** - The University shall maintain and upgrade its resources for chemical spill response in order to minimize the risk of any hazardous materials release or threatened release.

Consistent. No excavation is proposed.

Consistent. No new development is proposed.

Consistent.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Policy HAZ-3</th>
<th>The Environmental Health &amp; Safety EH&amp;S Office will appropriately dispose of hazardous materials.</th>
<th>Consistent.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Policy HAZ-4</td>
<td>The University shall maintain and strengthen its hazardous waste minimization program. Waste minimization efforts by the EH&amp;S Office will give particular consideration to monitoring of hazardous materials storage and handling procedures; recycling (onsite and offsite); source reduction goals; implementation procedures; and informational and educational programs.</td>
<td>Consistent.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Policy HAZ-5 | If contaminated soil and/or contaminated groundwater are encountered during excavation and/or grading activities, except where such activities are implementing a Commission-approved remediation plan, the following steps shall be taken:  
(a) The construction contractor(s) shall stop work and immediately inform Environmental Health and Safety (EH&S);  
(b) An on-site assessment shall be conducted to determine if the discovered materials pose a significant risk to the public or construction workers;  
(c) If the materials are determined to pose such a risk, a remediation plan shall be prepared and submitted to EH&S to comply with all federal and state regulations necessary to clean and/or remove the contaminated soil and/or groundwater;  
(d) Soil remediation methods could include, but are not necessarily limited to, excavation and on-site treatment, excavation and off-site treatment and/or disposal, and/or treatment without excavation;  
(e) Remediation alternatives for contaminated groundwater could include, but are not necessarily limited to, on-site treatment, extraction and off-site treatment, and/or disposal; and  
(f) The construction schedule shall be modified or delayed to ensure that construction will not obstruct remediation activities and will not expose the public or construction workers to significant risks associated with hazardous conditions. The Ellwood Marine Terminal Facility has a known contamination risk and shall be subject to Policy ESH-46. | Consistent. |
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PUBLIC NOTICE
NOTICE OF IMPENDING DEVELOPMENT
AQUATICS TRAILER/BUILDING 382 DEMOLITION PROJECT

Pursuant to the California Coastal Act the University of California, Santa Barbara (UCSB) has prepared and submitted a Notice of Impending Development for the Aquatics Trailer/Building 382 Project.

The proposed project is to demolish approximately 924 square feet of manufactured building on UCSB Main Campus. The building has been deemed untenable and has reached the end of its useful life.

The Notice of Impending Development is available at http://www.facilities.ucsb.edu/files/docs/NOID_382_Demo.pdf or upon request at the UC Santa Barbara Office of Campus Planning and Design. For more information, please contact Steve Conner at 805-893-5216 or send email to steve.conner@planning.ucsb.edu.

ATTENTION: If you would like to receive future notices ELECTRONICALLY ONLY, or to change your contact information, please email steve.conner@planning.ucsb.edu

Steve Conner
Office of Campus Planning and Design
University of California, Santa Barbara
Santa Barbara, California, 93106-1030
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>E-mail 1 - Value</th>
<th>Organization 1 - Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ali Aghayan</td>
<td><a href="mailto:ali.aghayan@ehs.ucsb.edu">ali.aghayan@ehs.ucsb.edu</a></td>
<td>Environmental Health &amp; Safety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anne Wells</td>
<td><a href="mailto:awells@cityofgoleta.org">awells@cityofgoleta.org</a></td>
<td>Housing &amp; Residential Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barry Colwell</td>
<td><a href="mailto:bcolwell@housing.ucsb.edu">bcolwell@housing.ucsb.edu</a></td>
<td>UC Office of the President Office of General Counsel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barton Lounsbury</td>
<td><a href="mailto:barton.lounsbury@ucop.edu">barton.lounsbury@ucop.edu</a></td>
<td>Environmental Defense Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brian Trautwein</td>
<td><a href="mailto:btrautwein@environmentaldefensecenter.org">btrautwein@environmentaldefensecenter.org</a></td>
<td>UC Office of the President</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charlotte Strem</td>
<td><a href="mailto:charlotte.strem@ucop.edu">charlotte.strem@ucop.edu</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chris Sneddon</td>
<td><a href="mailto:csneddo@cosbpw.net">csneddo@cosbpw.net</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chuck Haines</td>
<td><a href="mailto:chuck.haines@ucsb.edu">chuck.haines@ucsb.edu</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citizens Planning Association</td>
<td><a href="mailto:citizensplanningsb@gmail.com">citizensplanningsb@gmail.com</a></td>
<td>Citizens Planning Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Craig Geyer</td>
<td><a href="mailto:cg@css.sbcxmail.com">cg@css.sbcxmail.com</a></td>
<td>Isla Vista Recreation and Park District policy committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marshall, David</td>
<td><a href="mailto:david.marshall@ucsb.edu">david.marshall@ucsb.edu</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David P McHale</td>
<td><a href="mailto:David.McHale@pf.ucsb.edu">David.McHale@pf.ucsb.edu</a></td>
<td>Physical Facilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dianne Black</td>
<td><a href="mailto:dianne@co.santa-barbara.ca.us">dianne@co.santa-barbara.ca.us</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blaschke, Ed</td>
<td><a href="mailto:eblaschke@itsc.ucsb.edu">eblaschke@itsc.ucsb.edu</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ed France</td>
<td><a href="mailto:ed@sbbike.org">ed@sbbike.org</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ed Schmittgen</td>
<td><a href="mailto:ed.schmittgen@dcs.ucsb.edu">ed.schmittgen@dcs.ucsb.edu</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Affairs Board</td>
<td><a href="mailto:ucsbeab@gmail.com">ucsbeab@gmail.com</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frank Thompson - Isla Vista Community Development Corp</td>
<td><a href="mailto:thompsonhc@aol.com">thompsonhc@aol.com</a></td>
<td>Santa Barbara Bicycle Coalition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gene Horstin</td>
<td><a href="mailto:gene.horstin@dcs.ucsb.edu">gene.horstin@dcs.ucsb.edu</a></td>
<td>Design &amp; Construction Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goleta Valley Chamber of Commerce</td>
<td><a href="mailto:info@goletavalley.com">info@goletavalley.com</a></td>
<td>UCSB Associated Students EAB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harvey Packard</td>
<td><a href="mailto:centralcoast@waterboards.ca.gov">centralcoast@waterboards.ca.gov</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isla Vista Community Network</td>
<td><a href="mailto:islavistacomunitynetwork@gmail.com">islavistacomunitynetwork@gmail.com</a></td>
<td>Regional Water Quality Control Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jennifer Carman</td>
<td><a href="mailto:jcarman@cityofgoleta.org">jcarman@cityofgoleta.org</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thorsch, Jennifer</td>
<td><a href="mailto:thorsch@ccber.ucsb.edu">thorsch@ccber.ucsb.edu</a></td>
<td>Environmental Health &amp; Safety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jodi Woods</td>
<td><a href="mailto:jodi.woods@ehs.ucsb.edu">jodi.woods@ehs.ucsb.edu</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michaelsen, Joel</td>
<td><a href="mailto:joel.michaelsen@ucsb.edu">joel.michaelsen@ucsb.edu</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jon C Cook</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Jon.Cook@pf.ucsb.edu">Jon.Cook@pf.ucsb.edu</a></td>
<td>Physical Facilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Josephine Axt</td>
<td><a href="mailto:josephine.r.axt@usace.army.mil">josephine.r.axt@usace.army.mil</a></td>
<td>United States Army Corps of Engineers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schimel, Josh</td>
<td><a href="mailto:schimel@lifesci.ucsb.edu">schimel@lifesci.ucsb.edu</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ken Oplinger</td>
<td><a href="mailto:ken@sbchamber.org">ken@sbchamber.org</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ken Warfield - Isla Vista Association</td>
<td><a href="mailto:warfield@rain.org">warfield@rain.org</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim Stanley-Zimmerman</td>
<td><a href="mailto:kim@coast-santabarbara.org">kim@coast-santabarbara.org</a></td>
<td>COAST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deshler, Kirsten</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Kirsten.Deshler@ia.ucsb.edu">Kirsten.Deshler@ia.ucsb.edu</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linda Krop</td>
<td><a href="mailto:lkrop@environmentaldefensecenter.org">lkrop@environmentaldefensecenter.org</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marc Chyttilo</td>
<td><a href="mailto:marc@lomcsb.com">marc@lomcsb.com</a></td>
<td>Law Offices of Marc Chyttilo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marc Fisher</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Marc.Fisher@vcadmin.ucsb.edu">Marc.Fisher@vcadmin.ucsb.edu</a></td>
<td>Administrative Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mike Wondolowski</td>
<td><a href="mailto:mike@alum.berkeley.edu">mike@alum.berkeley.edu</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fogg, Mindy</td>
<td><a href="mailto:mfoogg@co.santa-barbara.ca.us">mfoogg@co.santa-barbara.ca.us</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molly Pearson</td>
<td><a href="mailto:pearsonm@sbcapcd.org">pearsonm@sbcapcd.org</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monica Miyasota</td>
<td><a href="mailto:cao@co.santa-barbara.ca.us">cao@co.santa-barbara.ca.us</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pete Hembrow</td>
<td><a href="mailto:hembrow@cox.net">hembrow@cox.net</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peter Imhof</td>
<td><a href="mailto:pimhof@sbcag.org">pimhof@sbcag.org</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>E-mail 1 - Value</td>
<td>Organization 1 - Name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ray Aronson</td>
<td><a href="mailto:ray.aronson@dcs.ucsb.edu">ray.aronson@dcs.ucsb.edu</a></td>
<td>Design &amp; Construction Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renee Bahl</td>
<td><a href="mailto:renee.bahl@fm.ucsb.edu">renee.bahl@fm.ucsb.edu</a></td>
<td>Facilities Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richard Watts</td>
<td><a href="mailto:richard.watts@ucsb.edu">richard.watts@ucsb.edu</a></td>
<td>Chancellor’s Office, 5130 Cheadle Hall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Crew</td>
<td><a href="mailto:rob.crew@police.ucsb.edu">rob.crew@police.ucsb.edu</a></td>
<td>Police</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rodney Gould</td>
<td><a href="mailto:rgould@ivparks.org">rgould@ivparks.org</a></td>
<td>Isla Vista Recreation and Park District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Barbara Audubon Society</td>
<td><a href="mailto:president@santabarbaraaudubon.org">president@santabarbaraaudubon.org</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Barbara Surfrider Chapter</td>
<td><a href="mailto:santabarbara@surfrider.org">santabarbara@surfrider.org</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sarah Sweeney</td>
<td><a href="mailto:s.sweeney@me.com">s.sweeney@me.com</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mc Tague, William</td>
<td><a href="mailto:bill.mctague@sa.ucsb.edu">bill.mctague@sa.ucsb.edu</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix E. Notice of Exemption
State of California Office of Planning and Research  
State Clearinghouse  
P.O. Box 3044  
Sacramento, CA 95812-3044

Re: Notice of Exemption for the University of California, Santa Barbara, Demolition of Building 382/Aquatics Trailer on Main Campus

A Notice of Exemption and an Environmental Assessment are attached for the University of California, Santa Barbara, Demolition of Building 382/Aquatics Trailer. If there are any questions or comments please do not hesitate to telephone me at (805) 893-5216 or send e-mail to steve.conner@planning.ucsb.edu.

Sincerely,

Steve Conner  
Senior Planner

Attachment/Enclosure: As stated.

cc: Chris Kelsey, UCSB Physical Facilities  
Gene Horstlin, UCSB Physical Facilities  
Alissa Hummer, UCSB Office of Campus Planning and Design  
Ray Aronson, UCSB Design and Construction Services  
Marc Fisher, UCSB Administrative Services
Notice of Exemption

To: Office of Planning and Research
   P.O. Box 3044, Room 113
   Sacramento, CA 95812-3044
   County Clerk
   County of: Santa Barbara

From: (Public Agency): University of California
       Office of Campus Planning & Design
       Santa Barbara, CA 93106-1030
       (Address)

Project Title: Building 382/Aquatics Trailer Demolition on Main Campus

Project Applicant: University of California

Project Location - Specific:
Adjacent and south of Campus Pool on the Main Campus.

Project Location - City: Goleta
Project Location - County: Santa Barbara

Description of Nature, Purpose and Beneficiaries of Project:
The University of California, Santa Barbara has determined that the building is untenable, has no useful purpose, and demolition of the facilities is necessary.

Name of Public Agency Approving Project: University of California
Name of Person or Agency Carrying Out Project: UCSB Design & Construction Services

Exempt Status: (check one):
☐ Ministerial (Sec. 21080(b)(1); 15268);
☐ Declared Emergency (Sec. 21080(b)(3); 15269(a));
☐ Emergency Project (Sec. 21080(b)(4); 15269(b)(c));
☒ Categorical Exemption. State type and section number: 15301, Class I (l).(3)
☐ Statutory Exemptions. State code number: 

Reasons why project is exempt:
The demolition would not involve expansion of an existing use.

Lead Agency
Contact Person: Steve Conner
Area Code/Telephone/Extension: 805-893-5216

If filed by applicant:
1. Attach certified document of exemption finding.
2. Has a Notice of Exemption been filed by the public agency approving the project?, ☒ Yes ☐ No

Signature: 
Date: 7-29-19
Title: Sr. Planner

☒ Signed by Lead Agency ☐ Signed by Applicant

Authority cited: Sections 21083 and 21110, Public Resources Code.
Reference: Sections 21108, 21152, and 21152.1, Public Resources Code.

Date Received for filing at OPR:

Revised 2011